RESEARCH ARTICLE



Review of Afrotropical Figitinae (Figitidae, Cynipoidea, Hymenoptera) with the first records of Neralsia and Lonchidia for the region

Simon van Noort^{1,2}, Matthew L. Buffington³, Mattias Forshage⁴

 Natural History Department, Iziko South African Museum, PO Box 61, Cape Town, 8000, South Africa
Department of Biological Sciences, University of Cape Town, Private Bag, Rondebosch, 7701, South Africa
Systematic Entomology Lab, USDA, c/o Smithsonian NMNH, 10th & Constitution Ave NW, Washington DC 20013 4 Swedish Museum of Natural History, Department of Entomology, Box 50007, SE-104 05 Stockholm, Sweden

Corresponding author: Simon van Noort (svannoort@iziko.org.za)

Academic editor: <i>M. Engel</i> Received 27 August 2014 Accepted 14 Octob	ber 2014 Published 10 November 2014					
http://zoobank.org/F974227D-6761-4AD4-880D-3F5706E91D47						

Citation: van Noort S, Buffington ML, Forshage M (2014) Review of Afrotropical Figitinae (Figitidae, Cynipoidea, Hymenoptera) with the first records of *Neralsia* and *Lonchidia* for the region. ZooKeys 453: 37–69. doi: 10.3897/ zookeys.453.8511

Abstract

The cynipoid subfamily Figitinae is poorly represented in the Afrotropical region with two genera (*Figites* Latreille and *Xyalophora* Kieffer) and six species currently known. Here we record an additional two genera (*Neralsia* Cameron and *Lonchidia* Thomson) for the region and describe three new species: *Neralsia haddocki* **sp. n.**; *Xyalophora tedjoansi* **sp. n.**; *Xyalophora tintini* **sp. n.** Benoit's species described in 1956 are synonymized under *Figites aciculatus* (Benoit, 1956): *Figites effossus* **syn. n.**; *F. favonius* **syn. n.**; *F. favonius* **syn. n.**; *F. favonius* **syn. n.**; *I.* fatoripical region are provided. Online interactive Lucid Phoenix and Lucid matrix keys are available at: http://www.waspweb.org/Cynipoidea/Keys/index.htm

Keywords

Africa, Afrotropical, Cynipoidea, Figitidae, identification key, species description, taxonomy

Introduction

The subfamily Figitinae is a heterogenous, and probably a paraphyletic, group of cynipoid wasps (Ronquist 1999, Buffington et al. 2007). The Afrotropical fauna is poorly known, with the first figitines from the region being described by Benoit in 1956. His treatise of the Figitidae housed in the Royal Museum of Central Africa (Tervuren), from what was then known as the Belgian Congo, included the description of four *Figites* Latreille species and a single *Xyalophora* Kieffer species all from the Democratic Republic of Congo (Benoit 1956). *Xyalophora aciculata* was subsequently transferred to *Figites* by Jiménez et al. (2008c). Since then only a single additional species, *Xyalophora provancheri* Jiménez & Pujade-Villar from Burkina Faso, has been described in Jiménez et al. (2008c). To date these are the only two figitine genera to have been recorded from the Afrotropical region.

We record two additional genera, *Lonchidia* Thomson and *Neralsia* Cameron, describe three new species, and provide identification keys to the genera and species of Afrotropical Figitinae. Benoit's *Figites* species are synonymized with the result that *Figites*, *Lonchidia* and *Neralsia* are currently monotypic in the region.

Materials and methods

Freshly collected specimens were point-mounted on black, acid-free cards for examination (using a Leica 205c stereomicroscope with LED light sources), photography and long-term preservation. Images were acquired using either the EntoVision multiple-focus imaging system or the Leica LAS 4.4 imaging system to illustrate diagnostic characters. The former comprised a Leica[®] M16 microscope with a JVC[®] KY-75U 3–CCD digital video camera attached that fed image data to a notebook computer. The program Cartograph[®] 5.6.0 was then used to merge an image series into a single in-focus image. The Leica LAS 4.4 imaging system comprised a Leica[®] Z16 microscope with a Leica DFC450 Camera with 0.63× video objective attached. The imaging process, using an automated Z-stepper, was managed using the Leica Application Suite V 4.4 software installed on a desktop computer. Methods for generating these photographs follow those in Buffington and van Noort (2009). Diffused lighting was achieved using techniques summarized in Buffington et al. (2005), Kerr et al. (2008) and Buffington and Gates (2009).

Morphological terminology follows that of Fontal-Cazalla et al. (2002); Ronquist and Nordlander (1989) and Jiménez et al. (2008c); cuticular surface terminology follows Harris (1979). Abbreviations and definition of measurements:

F1–F12: antennal flagellomeres 1 to 12.

T1–T8: metasomal tergites 1 to 8 (T1 = abdominal petiole).

- **POC** (postocellar distance): shortest distance between the internal margins of the posterior ocelli.
- **OOC** (ocello-ocular distance): shortest distance between the external margin of the lateral ocellus and the internal margin of the compound eye.
- COC (ocellar distance): shortest distance between the lateral and frontal ocelli.

Relative length of the scutellar spine to length of scutellum (excluding spine) is measured in dorsal view with orientation of each surface adjusted to a horizontal plane for recording of absolute length.

Online interactive keys were produced using Lucid and Lucid Phoenix meeting the requirements of publishing both static and dynamic interactive keys under an open access model (Penev et al. 2009). All keys were produced using high quality annotated images, highlighting diagnostic characters that are integrated into the key above each couplet. This is a user-friendly output making the keys readily accessible to a wide range of users with diverse expertise. This key format circumvents the requirement of familiarity with morphological terminology associated with the particular group, because the characters are visually illustrated making the keys usable by the lay person. These keys are available at: http:// www.waspweb.org/Cynipoidea/Keys/index.htm. End users can choose between three different key formats depending on their personal preference. The keys are available in three formats. Although Lucid Phoenix keys are interactive keys they are still dichotomous and a choice needs to be made at each key couplet to continue. Lucid matrix keys, on the other hand, use a different approach where relevant states from multiple character features can be selected independently until identification is achieved. For more information concerning Lucid keys visit http://www.lucidcentral.org.

All images presented in this paper are freely available through http://morphbank. net and http://www.waspweb.org using the link to individual collections.

List of depositories

BMNH	Natural History	v Museum,	London, UH	K. Curator:	David Notton.
------	-----------------	-----------	------------	-------------	---------------


- **CNCI** Canadian National Collection of Insects, Ottawa, Canada. Curator: Andy Bennett.
- MZLU Zoologiska Museet Lunds Universitet, Lund, Sweden. Curator: Christer Hansson.
- **RMCA** Musée Royal de l'Afrique Centrale, Tervuren, Belgium. Curator: Eliane de Coninck.
- SAMC Iziko South African Museum, Cape Town, South Africa. Curator: Simon van Noort.

Figitinae

The indigenous Afrotropical genera belong to the very distinct core lineage of Figitinae, which appears to be monophyletic, and is characterised by large size, often strongly reduced wing pubescence, hairy eyes, lack of metasomal hair patch (hairy ring), and bionomics associated with attacking calyptrate Diptera in decomposing substrates. This lineage presents a very interesting morphological and life history convergence with some genera of Afrotropical Eucoilinae (e.g. *Bothrochacis*). On the contrary, the genus *Lonchidia*, of which we have so far encountered only one Afrotropical specimen of a European species, represents a separate lineage that renders the subfamily paraphyletic in phylogenetic analyses. It is easily recognizable by its confluent scutellar foveae, unusual lateral hair patches on the metasoma and sub-clavate female antennae. The recorded *Lonchidia* species may be an accidental introduction or possibly an established population of synanthropic origin.


Systematics


Key to Afrotropical figitine genera



1


Scutellar foveae confluent (a). Small, rather slender species (b) Lonchidia







2

No distinct scutellar spine (a), outline of scutellum in dorsal view rounded (however there is often a more or less pronounced ridge at the posteriormost point of the circumscutellar carina, which may look like a small tooth in lateral view) (b).......*Figites* (single species currently recognised: *F. aciculatus*)







Figites Latreille, 1802

- *Figites* Latreille, 1802: 307. Type species: *Cynips scutellaris* Rossi, 1794, by subsequent designation.
- *Psilogaster* Hartig, 1840: 187 & 202. Type species: *Figites anthomyiarium* Bouché, 1834, by original designation.
- *Pycnotrichia* Förster, 1869: 363 & 366. Type species: *Pycnotrichia erythropa* Förster, 1869 by monotypy and original designation.
- *Omalaspoides* Hedicke, 1913: 146. Type species: *Omalaspoides letzneri* Hedicke, 1913 by original designation.

Diagnosis. Large figitines with reduced pubescence on wings (often completely hairless) and more or less striate mesosomal sides. Easily separated from *Xyalophora* and *Neralsia* by the rounded scutellum (no indication of a spine in outline in dorsal view), although the posterior scutellar rim appears as a tooth in lateral view. Stiff, stout hairs present across most of body, distally bifurcate.

Distribution. Probably worldwide, but to date no records from the Oriental and Oceanic regions have been published. Afrotropical records: Democratic Republic of Congo (Benoit 1956), Cameroon, Ethiopia, Kenya, South Africa, Uganda, Yemen (new records).

Biology. Parasitoids of calyptrate Brachycera larvae in decomposing substrates (Hennig 1976; James 1928; Thomas and Morgan 1972).

Comments. This is a rare genus in the region. On a global scale, it is poorly circumscribed versus several smaller genera, and many of its nominal species are of doubtful identity.

Figites aciculatus (Benoit, 1956)

Figures 1, 2

Xyalophora aciculata Benoit, 1956.

Figites aciculatus (Benoit, 1956). Combination by Jiménez et al. 2008c.

Figites effossus Benoit, 1956, syn. n.

Figites favonius Benoit, 1956, syn. n.

Figites fraudator Benoit, 1956, syn. n.

Figites furvus Benoit, 1956, syn. n.

Images of all the type specimens are available on waspweb: http://www.waspweb.org/ Cynipoidea/Figitidae/Figitinae/Figites/index.htm

Additional material examined. CAMEROON, 1F: Nkoemvon, vii – viii 1979, Ms D. Jackson, Figites sp. det M. Forshage 2012 (BMNH); DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF CONGO, 1M: Parc Nat. Albert, SL Edouard: r. Rwindi, 1000m, 4.ii.1936, L. Lippens (RMCA); 1M: Conge Belge: Kivu, Rutshuru, 1285m, 13 au 20.xii.1933, G.F. de Witte: 122 (BMNH); 1M: same data except:, 23 au 25.xii.1933, G.F. de Witte: 132 (RMCA); 2M: Conge Belge: P.N.A., N'Zulu (Lac Kivu), 1500m, 6 au 7.ii.1934, G.F. de Witte: 221 (BMNH; RMCA); 1M: Conge Belge: Kivu, Sake, (Lac Kivu), 1460m, 19/22.ii.1934, G.F. de Witte: 253 (RMCA); 1M: Conge Belge: P.N.A., Burunga (Mokoto) 2000m, 17 au 19.iii.1934 G.F. de Witte: 313 (RMCA); 1M: Conge Belge: P.N.A., Près Mt. Kambatembe (Forêt) 2200m, 12-iv-934, G.F. de Witte : 348 (RMCA); 2M: Conge Belge: P.N.A., Rutshuru, 1285m, 18 au 23.vi.1934, G.F. de Witte: 448 (BMNH; RMCA); 2M: Conge Belge: P.N.A., Nyarusambo, 2000m, 2.vii.1934, G.F. de Witte: 465 (RMCA); 1F: Conge Belge: P.N.A., Mt. Sesero, pres Bitashimva (Bambousi) 2000m, 1 au 2.viii.1934, G.F. de Witte: 505 (RMCA); 1M: Conge Belge: Uele, Monga, 450m, 18.iv. au 8.v.1935, G.F. de Witte: 1334 (RMCA); 1F: Conge Belge: Kivu, Rutshuru, 1285m, 29 au 31.v.1935, G.F. de Witte: 1395 (RMCA); 1F: same data except:, G.F. de Witte: 1396 (BMNH); 1F: Conge Belge: Kivu, Rutshuru, (riv. Musugereza), 1100m, 4.vii.1935, G.F. de Witte: 1607 (RMNH); 1M: Conge Belge: Kivu, Rutshuru, 1285m, 3.vii.1935, G.F. de Witte: 1610 (RMCA); 1M: same data except: G.F. de Witte: 1611 (RMCA); 2M: Conge Belge: Kivu, Rutshuru (riv. Fuku), 1250m, 5.vii.1935, G.F. de Witte: 1621 (BMNH); 1M: same data except: G.F. de Witte: 1622 (RMCA); 1F: Conge Belge: Kivu, Rutshuru, 1285m, 12.vii.1935, G.F. de Witte: 1639 (RMCA); 1F: same data except: G.F. de Witte: 1641 (BMNH); 1F: Conge Belge: Kivu, Rutshuru (Lubirizi), 1285m, 13.vii.1935, G.F. de Witte: 1645 (RMNH); 1F: Conge Belge: Kivu, Rutshuru, 1285m, vii.1935, G.F. de Witte: 1671 (RMNH); 1M: Conge Belge: Kivu, Nyongera (près Rutshuru), Butumba, 1218m, 17.vii.1935, G.F. de Witte: 1669 (BMNH); 2F: Conge Belge: Kivu, Rutshuru (riv. Rodahira), 1285m, 2.vii.1935, G.F. de Witte: 1675 (RMNH); 1F: Conge Belge: Kivu, Rutshuru (riv. Fuku), 1250m, 4.vii.1935, G.F. de Witte: 1678 (RMNH); 3F, 1M: Conge Belge: Kivu, Rutshuru 1285m, 2.vii.1935, G.F. de Witte: 1685 (RMNH); 1M: Democratic Republic of Congo Conge Belge: P.N.A., Ganza (860m), 4-6-vii-1949.




Figure 1. *Figites aciculatus* (Benoit), holotype female. **A** lateral habitus **B** dorsal habitus **C** head and mesosoma, lateral view **D** head and mesosoma, dorsal view **E** mesosoma, dorso-lateral view **F** head, anterior view.

Mis G.F. de Witte: 2758a (RMNH); 1F: Conge Belge: P.N.A., Secteur Tshiaberimu, Riv. Mbulikerere, affl. Dr. Talia N, 2720m, 26–28.viii.1953, P. Vanschuytbroeck & V. Hendrickx, 4999-5005 (BMNH); 2 F 2M: Conge Belge: P.N.A., Mont Hoyo, 1280m, sur plantes basses, 7–15.vii.1955, P. Vanschuytbroeck, 13274-309 (BMNH; RMNH); 1F: Conge Belge: P.N.A., 21-iv-1955, P. Vanschuytbroeck & R. Fonteyn, 12.813-16 Secteur Tshiaberimu, Mont Musienene, 2.680 m, près de Kirungu (RMNH); 1M: Conge Belge: P.N.A., 16-vii-1957, P. Vanschuytbroeck VS 84 (2) Secteur Nord, riv. Lesse, affl. G. Semliki, 695 m (RMNH); **ETHIOPIA**, 1M: Nazareth, 6700', 16–19. II.62, S.M. Clark (CNCI); **KENYA**, 2F: Kakamega Forest, 18.xii.1970, A.E. Stubbs, B.M. 1972-211 (BMNH); **SOUTH AFRICA**, 1F: Eastern Cape Province, Port St John, Pondoland, June 12–30 1923, R.E. Turner, Brit. Mus. 1923-363 (BMNH); 1F: [Kwazulu-Natal], Natal, Van Reenen, Drakensberg 1–22.i.1927, R.E. Turner, Brit. Mus. 1927-54 (BMNH); 1F: Eastern Cape Province, Katberg, 15–30.i.1933, R.E. Turner, Brit. Mus. 1933-108 (BMNH); **UGANDA**, 1M: Mulange, R, Dummer, Nov, 1922. *Figites* det M. Soderlund 1993, SAM-HYM-P002880 (SAMC). 1F: Kazhara, H.C. Taylor, iii.1939, Brit. Mus. 1956-25, *Figites* det. J. Quinlan, 1957 (BMNH); 1 F: Kawanda, T.H.C. Taylor, xi.1942, Brit. Mus. 1956-25, *Figites* det. J. Quinlan, 1957 (BMNH); 2M: Ruwenzori Range, Namwamba Valley, 10 100 ft., T.H.E. Jackson, xii.1934-i.1935, B.M. E. Africa. Exp., B.M. 1935-203 (BMNH); **YEMEN**, 1F: Ar Rujum, 15°27.47'N, 43°38.10'E, 16.10.00-15.01.01, in Malaise-trap, coll. A. van Harten & A.M. Hager, 5464, SAM-HYM-P046196 (SAMC).

Distribution. Democratic Republic of Congo (Benoit 1956), Cameroon, Ethiopia, Kenya, South Africa, Uganda, Yemen (new records).

Description synopsis with overview of morphological variation. Female. Head, mesosoma, metasomal tergite 1, coxae black; rest of metasoma reddish brown; scape black, rest of antennae pale to dark reddish brown, multiporous plate sensilla (MPS) concolourous with segment or more silver, legs testaceous, femora darker. Antennae pale to dark reddish-brown, 11 flagellar segments; flagellar segment 1 longer than segment 2; flagellar segments 1-4 with no MPS; remaining 7 segments with single row of MPS except for the club segment which has 2 rows; club segment about twice the length of penultimate segment and 1.5× longer than wide. Occiput with reticulate sculpturing or parallel carina, but may be fairly smooth with only a few weak parallel carina on posterior edge of vertex orientated parallel to genal carina. Pronotal plate cordate, smooth. Medial posterior impression present or absent between notauli. Scutellar posterior rim raised into what appears to be a tooth visible in lateral view. Mesopleuron completely striate or with smooth medial patch. Marginal cell open or closed. Marginal vein often present, but hyaline and only pigmented for basal half to three-quarters of vein. Cell usually less than twice as long as wide 1.3×–1.8× but may be 2.0×. Basal vein usually shorter $(0.65-0.85\times)$ than portion of subcostal vein forming 1st cubital cell, but may be longer (1.25×). Propodeal shelf as long as metasomal petiole in lateral view. Metasomal tergite 2 smooth or with longitudinal striations that can form a short collar or extend almost the length of tergite. Tergite 3 smooth or sometimes with lateral striate patch, striations often weak. Fore tarsal basal segment = the remaining segments in length.

Male. Colour as in female. Twelve flagellomeres. First two flagellar segments equal in length and each equal to scape & pedicel combined. 1st flagellar segment 3× longer than wide. Scape 3× pedicel length. Face reticulate to centrally smooth with weak lateral carina. Occiput reticulate to rather smooth, with faint indications of carinae in reticulate pattern. Toruli separated by a third to a half of their own diameter. Eyes separated by just over 1.1× eye length. Pronotal collar smooth. Mesopleuron with dor-



Figure 2. *Figites aciculatus* (Benoit), holotype female. **A** metasoma, lateral view **B** propodeum and metasoma, dorsal view **C** propodeum and partial metasoma, lateral view **D** mesosoma and partial metasoma, dorso-lateral view **E** forewing **F** data labels.

sal medial smooth patch. Slight medial posterior depression between notauli. Scutellar tooth strong in lateral view or may be almost absent with scutellar rim very low in specimens that are generally less sculptured. Vertical parallel carina on scutellar posterior vertical surface. Pronotal shelf same length as metasomal tergite 1 petiole in lateral view. Pronotum with two parallel raised longitudinal carinae bounding medial rectangular area. Marginal cell may be obviously closed with pigmented vein or may be open with vein loosing pigment. $1.5 \times -2.0 \times$ longer than wide. Basal vein usually shorter $(0.7-0.9\times)$ than portion of subcostal vein forming 1st cubital cell. Tergite 2 smooth or with very faint weak striations near base. Tergite 3 may have a very small patch of very weak striations.

Comments. Benoit described five species (in two genera) based on single specimens using differential characters that are highly variable and likely to be indicative of intraspecific variation. Benoit deemed that *F. aciculatus* possessed a scutellar spine and hence placed this species in Xyalophora. Examination of the holotype clearly shows this specimen to possess the same character state as in the rest of the Figites species that he described, i.e. a rounded scutellum with no indication of a spine in outline in dorsal view (distinguishing this genus from both Xyalophora and Neralsia), although the posterior scutellar rim appears as a small tooth in lateral view. Jiménez et al. (2008c) correctly transferred this species to Figites. Although we initially attempted to find correlating characters across an examined series of 57 specimens to corroborate Benoit's species delimitation, there was no consistency in reliably diagnostic character states, alone or in combination. In particular, the degree of closure of the radial vein, and degree of sculpturing, including presence (and extent of) or absence of striations on metasomal tergites 1 and 2, which are two of the main characters used by Benoit to define his species, are variable across the series of specimens that we have examined. Specimens cannot always reliably be placed in one or the other of Benoit's species, because of possession of different character state combinations and a continuous range of variation across body size (specimens range in body length from 2–4 mm). The degree and extent of sculpturing varies with specimen size with smaller specimens tending to be smoother overall with reduced sculpturing on the occiput, pronotum, mesopleuron, metacoxae and metasomal tergites. A potential useful character state is the type of sculpturing present on the occiput, which may be reticulate or have the cross-carina absent creating parallel carina. Although appearing very different, this latter character state is likely to be related to a reduction in sculpturing and is not consistently correlated with other potentially diagnostic characters. Larger specimens tend to be more sculptured with reticulate occipital sculpturing and a closed marginal cell and smaller specimens less sculptured with parallel carina on the occiput and an open marginal cell, but there are intermediates and exceptions. Many of the specimens with occipital reticulate sculpturing and a closed marginal vein could be assigned to F. aciculatus (the holotype is a large specimen with a 4 mm body length), but there were also specimens with a closed marginal cell and parallel carina. There are even specimens that have different degrees of closure of the marginal cell on each wing, so clearly this is a plastic character e.g. a female from Kivu, Rutshuru (Democratic Republic of Congo) has one wing with the marginal cell open and other wing with the marginal cell closed. In many specimens the vein is often present along the entire wing margin, but not completely pigmented and therefore depending on lighting, background colour and magnification strength, the marginal cell can be interpreted as open or closed, compounding reliable identification. The relative dimensions of the marginal cell also exhibit a range of variability $(1.6-2.1 \times \text{as long as wide})$, and the basal vein is usually much shorter $(0.67-0.71\times)$ than the portion of the subcostal vein forming the 1st cubital cell. However, in the Cameroon specimen, the marginal cell can

be a little more than twice as long as wide and the basal vein is longer (1.25×) than the portion of subcostal vein forming the 1st cubital cell. Together with an extended hypopygium, this specimen could represent an undescribed species, but it appears to fit at the end of the range of variability of these characters. The hypopygium usually does not extend beyond the end of the metasoma, but depending on the extension or contraction of the metasomal segments the hypopygium (and ovipositor sheaths) may extend beyond the end of the metasoma, as in the Cameroon specimen.

The degree of striation on the pronotum is also variable with the posterior medial lateral section usually smooth and this smooth area can extend to the anterior medial margin. The mesopleuron can be completely striate or with a smooth medial patch, the latter state more typical of males. Two other characters used by Benoit are also variable and difficult to characterize: the medial depression, sometimes present posteriorly between the notauli, is highly variable in depth and presence and difficult to discern if weakly present; the presence or absence of the forewing areolet is also variable, usually very difficult to discern and arguably closed or open if it is visible.

Based on re-interpretation and subsequent appreciation that the diagnostic characters used by Benoit are highly plastic, in combination with the fact that his species concepts are based on single specimens that are representative of different points in a continuous range of variation, we synonymize these taxa under *F. aciculatus*. We chose this name because the type is in good condition and is representative of the most common morphology (within the range of intra-specific variation) exhibited by the specimens we have examined.

Lonchidia Thomson, 1862

Lonchidia Thomson, 1862: 413. Type-species: *Figites maculipennis* Dahlbom, 1842, by subsequent designation.

Diagnosis. Small, rather slender, and more or less strongly pubescent figitines, easily recognised by the confluent scutellar foveae. Pubescence is dense on patches on the sides of the large metasomal tergite, as a collar on the pronotum, on the propodeum, and rather dense also on metapleura and metacoxae. The marginal cell of the forewing is characteristically short, and the antennae in females end with an enlarged apical flagellomere.

Distribution. Mostly a Holarctic genus, here reported for the first time from the Afrotropical region. Afrotropical records: South Africa.

Biology. No host records exist. Hosts are expected to be saprophagous Brachycera larvae, but these wasps appear less directly associated with decomposing substrates like dung and carrion than many other figitines. Species in North America are frequently collected in pasture land or meadow, in close approximation to domesticated bovines (Buffington, pers. obs.)

Comments. The only Afrotropical specimen seen so far is from South Africa and may be an accidental introduction. It corresponds to a form present in Europe, which

is currently considered as belonging to *Lonchidia clavicornis* Thomson, but which differs from the type specimen in some minor respects. Further studies may possibly show that this is a separate, currently unnamed, species.

Lonchidia clavicornis Thomson, 1862

Figure 3

Material examined. 1F: South Africa, Cape Province, 10 km S of Citrusdal, Koornlandskloof, S32°40', E19°02', 5–9.X.1994, marshy meadow at riverside, Malaise trap, leg. Michael Söderlund, MZLU 2013 227 (MZLU).

Neralsia Cameron, 1883

- *Neralsia* Cameron, 1883: 4. Type species: *Neralsia rufipes* Cameron, 1883, by monotypy and original designation.
- *Xyalosema* Dalla Torre & Kieffer, 1910: 73 & 94. Replacement name for *Solenaspis* Ashmead, 1887.
- Solenaspis Ashmead, 1887: 151 & 155. Unavailable junior homonym of Solenaspis Osten Sacken, 1881 (Diptera). Type species: Solenaspis hyalinipennis Ashmead, 1887 by monotypy and original designation.

Diagnosis. Along with *Xyalophora*, this is the only known figitine in the Afrotropical Region with a scutellar spine. *Neralsia* can be distinguished from *Xyalophora* by whether or not the notauli are horizontally striate: smooth in *Neralsia*, striate in *Xyalophora* (Jiménez et al. 2008c). Also, most *Neralsia* have longer, more robust scutellar spines than *Xyalophora*, but in specimens we have examined, this character varies with overall size of the specimen. This taxon also resembles *Prosaspicera* (Aspicerinae), which also possess a distinct scutellar spine, but can be separated from *Prosaspicera* by the lack of a facial impression on the head (present in *Prosaspicera*), and lack of a ligulate metasoma T2.

Comments. Rare in Afrotropical region. The genus is extremely species-rich in the Neotropical region and has recently been revised in a series of papers by Jiménez et al. (2004, 2005a, 2005b, 2006, 2007, 2008a, 2008b); Jiménez and Pujade-Villar (2009); Petersen-Silva and Pujade-Villar (2010); Petersen-Silva et al. (2010) and Pujade-Villar et al. (2006). *Neralsia* is also common throughout the Nearctic Region, but species limits have not been thoroughly established (Buffington, pers. obs.)

Distribution. Mainly Neotropical, but with single species in the Nearctic and Afrotropical regions. Purported records from the Oriental region and the east Palearctic are unconfirmed. Afrotropical records: Central African Republic, South Africa (here).

Biology. Parasitoids of calyptrate Brachycera larvae in decomposing substrates (Díaz et al. 2000; Thomas and Morgan 1972).



Figure 3. *Lonchidia clavicornis* Thomson female. **A** lateral habitus **B** dorsal habitus **C** head and mesosoma, lateral view **D** head anterio-lateral view **E** mesosoma, dorsal view **F** wings (inset: data labels).

Neralsia haddocki van Noort, Buffington & Forshage, sp. n. http://zoobank.org/6D429A4D-D056-4BBB-918E-6DF68DBD2447 Figures 4, 5, 6

Type material. HOLOTYPE. Female: **CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC**, Prefecture Sangha-Mbaéré, Réserve Spéciale de Forêt Dense de Dzanga-Sangha, 12.7km 326° NW Bayanga, 3°00.27'N, 16°11.55'E, 420m, 16–17.v.2001, S. van Noort, Malaise trap, CAR01-M145, Lowland Rainforest; SAM-HYM-P025026 (SAMC). **Paratype.** 1M: **SOUTH AFRICA**, [Eastern Cape Province], Port St John, Pondoland, 16–28.iv.1924, R.E. Turner, Brit. Mus. 1924-235 (BMNH).

Distribution. Central African Republic, South Africa.

Etymology. The specific epithet *haddocki* is in the genitive case and is for Captain Haddock, the comic book character by Hergé. The derivation has specific reference to Captain Haddock's consistent state of inebriation and utterance of the phrases "ten thousand thundering typhoons" and "billions of bilious blue blistering barnacles", expletives commiserate with the discovery and generic determination of this novel Afrotropical record in the CAR ethanol samples.

Diagnosis. *Neralsia haddocki* can be separated from all other described world *Neralsia* species by the closed marginal cell. A defined true vein is present along the wing margin completely closing the marginal cell. A number of the Central American and West Indies species have a darkening on the wing margin, but this is not considered to be a true vein (Jiménez et al. 2008b). The carina present between the scutellar fovea is at the same height as the outer foveal edge.

Description. FEMALE. Length 3.2 mm. Head, mesosoma, coxae, antennal scapes, and metasoma T1 black; rest of metasoma dark brown to reddish-brown; antennae gradually lightening from the dark-brown pedicel to the light reddish-brown F8-F11; legs reddish-brown. Wings transparent, without any infuscation.

Head. Head subquadrate, slightly wider 1.05× than long excluding mandibles. Entire head, including eves and mandibles, with scattered strong white pubescence. Eyes slightly bulging, projecting beyond outer margin of gena in frontal view. Antenna 13 segmented; F1 marginally longer (1.07×) than F2; flagellum widening toward apex. Vertex polished, setose; ocellar plate slightly raised, polished, setose; lateral ocellus diameter 0.93× the distance between lateral and median ocellus (COC); POC:OOC:COC = 30:20:15. Upper face with reticulate carina radiating away from outer edges of toruli towards ocelli; antennal scrobes not delimited, but inner scrobal area with parallel finer carina arcing dorsally between toruli; semi-circular polished area anterior to medial ocellus. Occiput weakly concave in dorsal view, rugulose, with some parallel carina, medially polished. Lower face rugulose, with carinae directed towards middle of face; face humped between toruli and clypeal margin, protruding in lateral view; toruli projected on shelf. Upper clypeal margin defined by two pronounced lateral excavations, each encompassing an anterior tentorial pit. Clypeus with strong medial convexity, concave ventrally with strong, pubescence; clypeal margin evenly convex. Gena finely punctate proximal to eye, rugose towards mandible and strong genal carina.

Mesosoma. With scattered strong golden pubescence dorsally, laterally glabrous. Anterior plate of pronotum smooth dorso-medially with vertical parallel carina ventrally and laterally; plate dorsally and laterally defined by strong pronotal carina, which is medially indented. Lateral surface of pronotum horizontally striate, striations radiating away from submedial pronotal depression, containing dense, white fluff; pronotum ventrally with patch of dense white setae. Mesoscutum polished. Notauli almost complete, terminating just before anterior margin of mesocutum; smooth;



Figure 4. *Neralsia haddocki* sp. n., holotype female. **A** lateral habitus **B** dorsal habitus **C** head and mesosoma, lateral view **D** head and mesosoma, dorsal view **E** mesosoma, lateral view **F** head, anterior view.

posteriorly broadening; median mesoscutal impression present as small insignificant depression; parascutal impressions weakly defined, smooth. Mesoscutum convex, scutellum flat. Scutellar fovea not subdivided by longitudinal carinae. Foveal carina at height of outer foveal edge. Scutellum laterally areolate-rugose, medially polished with reticulate carinae. Scutellar spine short, 0.3× scutellar length (excluding spine). Mesopleural triangle defined with weak ventral carina, horizontally striate with very fine pubescence; mesopleuron horizontally striate, striations denser dorsally than ventrally; mesopleural carina present, defined dorsally by parallel impression. Mesopleural pit




Figure 5. *Neralsia haddocki* sp. n., holotype female. **A** mesosoma, dorso-lateral view **B** propodeum and partial metasoma, dorsal view **C** propodeum and partial metasoma, lateral view **D** metasoma, lateral view **E** forewing and hindwing **F** data labels.

present. Metepisternum antero-ventrally excavated with pubescence, medially rugulose. Metepimeron depressed with pubescence. Lateral propodeal carina very prominent, thick and strongly raised, dorsal margin convex in lateral view. Median and lateral propodeal areas rugulose. Lateral propodeal area with strong pubescence. Calyptra prominent, strongly raised. Rs+M and areolet of forewing weakly defined. Basalis vein present. M+Cu1 weakly defined. Marginal cell 1.8× as long as wide, closed along wing margin. Margin with fringe of setae. Coxae sculpture, rest of legs polished, pubescent.



Figure 6. *Neralsia haddocki* sp. n., paratype male. **A** lateral habitus **B** head and mesosoma, dorsal view **C** body, lateral view **D** head anterior view **E** head and mesosoma, dorso-lateral view **F** wings (inset: data labels).

Mesotibial spurs subequal in length; metatibial outer spur shorter than inner spur. Ratio of first metatibial segment to the remaining 4 segments: 0.88×.

Metasoma. Tergite 3 strongly striate; tergite 4 anteriorly striate grading into punctate laterally and posteriorly; dorso-medially polished; remaining tergites finely punctate. Abdominal petiole (T2) strong, longitudinally striate, $2.2 \times$ as wide as long in dorsal view. T4 the largest tergite. Relative dorsal length of T3–T8: 60:100:8:8:15:40. Ovipositor valves not extending beyond apex of metasoma, enclosed within hypopygium. Ovipositor clip present, elongate with well-developed ventral lobe. Hypopygium with fringe of long setae running down each side; not extending beyond T8.

MALE. Length 2 mm. Head, mesosoma, coxae, antennal scapes, and posterior two-thirds of metasoma T1 black; rest of metasoma and coxae dark reddish-brown; antennae light reddish-brown; rest of legs pale yellowish-brown except for hind femur, which is reddish-brown for proximal two-thirds. Wings transparent, without any infuscation.

Head. Head more transversely globose than in female, 1.2× wider than long excluding mandibles. Entire head, including eyes and mandibles, with scattered strong white pubescence. Eyes strongly bulging, projecting well beyond outer margin of gena in frontal view. Antenna 14 segmented; F1 same length as F2; flagellar segments gradually shortening towards apex; except for long ultimate segment (1.15× length of F1). Vertex granulate, setose; ocellar plate slightly raised, polished, setose; lateral ocellus diameter 1.2× the distance between lateral and median ocellus (COC). Upper face with reticulate carina radiating away from outer edges of toruli towards ocelli. Occiput weakly concave in dorsal view, with parallel semi-reticulate carina. Face and clypeus as in female.

Mesosoma. With scattered strong white pubescence dorsally, laterally glabrous, otherwise mesosoma as in female. Forewing more setose than in female.

Metasoma. Tergite 3 polished; tergite 4 anteriorly polished grading into punctate laterally and posteriorly; remaining tergites finely punctate. Abdominal petiole (T2) strong, longitudinally striate, twice as wide as long in dorsal view. T4 the largest tergite. Relative dorsal length of T3–T8: 10:14:1:1:2:1.

Xyalophora Kieffer, 1901

- *Xyalophora* Kieffer, 1901: 344. Type species: *Figites clavatus* Giraud, 1860, by monotypy and original designation.
- *Ceraspidia* Belizin, 1952: 301. Type species: *Ceraspidia japonica* Belizin, 1952, by monotypy and original designation.

Diagnosis. *Xyalophora* shares the presence of a scutellar spine with *Neralsia*, absent in *Figites* and *Lonchidia*. *Xyalophora* can be separated from *Neralsia* by the presence of transversely striate notauli (smooth in *Neralsia*), and an often slightly smaller scutellar spine; this second character, however, is often linked to adult body size and should be used with caution. As in the case of *Neralsia*, species of *Xyalophora* can be superficially similar to *Prosaspicera* (Aspicerinae), but can be separated from that taxon by the lack of a facial impression on the head, as well as the lack of a ligulate metasomal T2. All three African species have the occipital carinae directed towards the ocellar area and separated in the middle by a smooth surface as well as a smooth interocellar area.

Distribution. Probably worldwide, but no records from the Oriental region are published. Afrotropical records: Burkina Faso (Jiménez et al. 2008c); Democratic Republic of Congo, Mali, Namibia, South Africa (here).


Biology. Parasitoids of calyptrate Brachycera larvae in decomposing substrates (Ionescu 1969).


Comments. A rare genus that has been recently revised by Jiménez et al. (2008c).

Species richness

Xyalophora provancheri Jiménez & Pujade-Villar, 2008 (Burkina Faso) *Xyalophora tedjoansi* **sp. n.** (Mali) *Xyalophora tintini* **sp. n.** (Democratic Republic of Congo, Namibia, South Africa)

Key to Afrotropical species of Xyalophora






- Forewings hyaline (A). Marginal cell 2–2.5× as long as wide (A), venation thinner with less contrast in thickness with marginal vein (A); radial vein

meets wing margin at acute angle (A). Scutellar spine shorter, $0.5 \times$ the length of the scutellum (excluding spine) (B). Notauli widened posteriorly (maximum width $0.7-0.8 \times$ the minimum distance separating notauli towards posterior mesoscutal margin) (B). Head distinctly ($1.25 \times$) wider than long. First tergite short ($0.2-0.25 \times$ as long as high in lateral view; either a third of nucha length (B) or equivalent in length to nucha in dorsal view)......**2**





Xyalophora provancheri Jiménez & Pujade-Villar, 2008

Figures 7, 8

Type material. Holotype. Female: C-335, Burkina Faso, Komprenya, 1–6.VI.1988, Sanborne, Landry & Tou Sarame" (white label); "Holotype desig.-2006 Jiménez & Pujade-Villar" (red label); "*Xyalophora provancheri* sp. n. & Jiménez & Pujade-Villar, det. 2006" (white label); IMAGED WaspWeb LAS 4.4 SAMC 2014 (yellow label). Deposited in CNCI, Ottawa.




Figure 7. *Xyalophora provancheri*, holotype female. **A** lateral habitus **B** dorsal habitus **C** head and mesosoma, lateral view **D** head and mesosoma, dorsal view **E** head and antennae, anterio-lateral view **F** head, anterior view.

Diagnosis. Occipital carinae parallel, fine. Scutellar spine long, $0.5 \times$ length of the scutellum (excluding spine). First tergite short ($0.25 \times$ as long as high in lateral view; equivalent in length to nucha in dorsal view). Notauli widened posteriorly (maximum width $0.8 \times$ the minimum distance separating them towards posterior mesoscutal margin). Marginal cell $2.5 \times$ as long as wide. Second flagellar segment longer than first.

Distribution. Burkina Faso.



Figure 8. *Xyalophora provancheri*, holotype female. **A** head and mesosoma, dorso-lateral view **B** metasoma, lateral view **C** head and partial mesosoma, anterio-dorsal view **D** forewing (inset: data labels).

Xyalophora tedjoansi van Noort, Buffington & Forshage, sp. n. http://zoobank.org/9C36B235-2E9C-4067-BCA5-05ACFA2F7710 Figures 9, 10

Type material. HOLOTYPE. Female: COLL. MUS. TERVUREN, Mali: Cinzana, 18-ix-1970, G. Pierrard, Imaged WaspWeb SAMC 2012 (yellow label), Holotype F *Xyalophora tedjoansi* van Noort, Buffington & Forshage (red label) [point-mounted on white card] (RMCA).

PARATYPE. 1F: COLL. MUS. TERVUREN, Mali: R.C T. – M'Pesoba, 11-vii-1970, G. Pierrard, Imaged WaspWeb, SAMC 2012 (yellow label), Paratype F *Xyalophora tedjoansi* van Noort, Buffington & Forshage (yellow label) (RMCA).

Distribution. Mali.

Etymology. The specific epithet *tedjoansi* is in the genitive case and is to commemorate the American-cosmopolitan poet Ted Joans (1928–2003), a surrealist, beat, black power and jazz activist who made Mali one of his several homes in the world. The *Xyalophora* spine may suggest the horn of Joans' totemic rhino.

Diagnosis. The large first tergite (petiole) and infuscate forewings surrounding the venation and radial vein meeting wing margin at almost 90 degrees immediately




Figure 9. *Xyalophora tedjoansi* sp. n., holotype female. **A** lateral habitus **B** dorsal habitus **C** head and mesosoma, lateral view **D** head and mesosoma, dorsal view **E** head and mesosoma, posterior-dorsal view **F** head, anterior view.

distinguish this species. Head subquadrate, $1.1 \times$ wider than long (*X. provancheri* distinctly wider than long $1.24 \times$). Occipital carinae parallel, fine as in *X. provancheri* contrasting with the discontinuous rugose carinae of *Xyalophora tintini*. Antennae clavate as in *X. provancheri*. Scutellar spine long, $0.8 \times$ length of the scutellum (excluding spine). Scutellar spine shorter in the other two Afrotropical species ($0.5 \times$ length of the scutellum). Petiole (T2) longer than in other two species: $1.6 \times$ higher than long in lateral view ($3.6-4 \times$ higher than long in other two species). Notauli narrow,

1/3 of the distance separating them at posterior mesoscutal margin; broader in other two species (1/2 of the distance separating them at posterior mesoscutal margin). Marginal cell venation much thicker (except for thin marginal vein) than in the other two species; Rs radial vein meeting wing margin at almost 90 degrees (Rs meets wing margin at acute angle in *X. provancheri* and *X. tintini*). Wings infuscate around venation, hyaline in *X. tintini*. First tergite (petiole) long (0.6× as long as high in lateral view; twice as long as nucha in dorsal view).

Description. FEMALE. Length 1.85 mm. Head, mesosoma black; metasoma dark brown. Antennae brownish-orange, darkening towards terminal three segments. Legs brownish-orange, except for coxae, which are darker. Wings transparent; with irregular infuscation either side of the basalis vein, and in marginal cell.

Head. Head subquadrate, 1.1× wider than long. Entire head, including eyes, with scattered pubescence, pubescence densest on lower face. Eyes not laterally extended, confluent with outer margin of gena in frontal view. Antenna 13 segmented; F1 slightly shorter than F2; flagellum widening gradually toward apex with final segment (F11) globular. Vertex polished, ocellar plate slightly raised; ocelli normal, their diameter half the distance between lateral and median ocellus (COC); POC:OOC:COC = 20:15:12. Upper face coriaceous, antennal scrobes not delimited. Occiput weakly concave in dorsal view, with numerous sub-parallel, occasionally reticulate, carinae radiating from occiptal carinae and directed medially towards ocelli, but terminating well before lateral ocelli. Lower face rugulose, weakly humped between toruli and clypeal margin, slightly protruding in lateral view. Upper clypeal margin defined by pronounced excavation containing anterior tentorial pits. Clypeus with strong medial hump dorsally, concave ventrally with strong pubescence. Gena rugulose in malar space, coriaceous medially and dorsally.

Mesosoma. With scattered pubescence. Anterior plate of pronotum polished, glabrous dorsally and medially, setose laterally on bridge; fovea closed with narrow lateral bridge; plate dorsally and laterally defined by strong pronotal carina. Lateral surface of pronotum horizontally striate, anterio-medially and ventrally with patch of dense white setae. Mesoscutum polished with lines of strong white setae each side of notauli and along lateral margins of scutum. Notauli almost complete, terminating just before anterior margin of mesoscutum; transversely striate; only slightly widening towards posterior mesoscutal margin (maximum width 0.35× the minimum distance separating them towards posterior mesoscutal margin); median mesoscutal impression very faint, weakly defined; parascutal impressions defined, sculpturing similar to notauli. Mesoscutum convex and scutellum anteriorly humped in lateral view. Scutellar fovea each with a longitudinal carina. Scutellum strongly areolate-rugose. Scutellar spine elongate, 0.8× scutellar length (excluding spine). Mesopleural triangle defined without ventral carina, strongly pubescent; posterior half (including speculum) of mesopleuron horizontally striate, anterior half rugulose-punctate; mesopleural carina defined. Metepisternum ventrally excavated with pubescence, medially longitudinally striate. Metepimeron depressed with pubescence. Dorsellum laterally strongly excavated. Lateral propodeal carina present. Lateral propodeal area densely pubescent. Rs+M of forewing



Figure 10. *Xyalophora tedjoansi* sp. n., holotype female. **A** head and mesosoma, dorso-lateral view **B** metasoma, lateral view **C** head and partial mesosoma, anterio-dorsal view **D** forewing and hind wing **E** paratype female, lateral habitus **F** data labels.

weakly defined distally at junction with 2r, but otherwise absent. Basalis vein present. M+Cu1 absent. Marginal cell closed, $1.55 \times$ as long as wide, veins thick, contrasting with thin marginal vein. Radial vein meets wing margin at almost 90 degrees. Margin with fringe of setae. Legs sparsely punctate, pubescent. Metacoxa stongly and densely pubescent. Mesotibial and metatibial outer spur shorter than inner spur. Ratio of first metatibial segment to the remaining 4 segments: $0.77 \times$.

Metasoma. Tergites polished. First tergite longitudinally striate, 4.3× as wide as long in dorsal view; 1.6× higher than long in lateral view; twice as long as nucha in dorsal view. T4 the largest tergite. Relative dorsal length of T3–8: 75:95:15:15:20:15. Posterior margin of T7 evenly curved. T8 exposed. Ovipositor valves not extending beyond apex of metasoma, concealed within T8. Hypopygium not extending beyond T8.

Xyalophora tintini van Noort, Buffington & Forshage, sp. n. http://zoobank.org/B80EB32C-A928-4F39-BD29-3E6D02EBDC1A Figures 11, 12

Type material. HOLOTYPE Female. **DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF CONGO:** Congo belge : P.N.U. Mabwe (r. E. lac Upemba), (585m) 15-viii-1947. Miss. G.F. de Witte. 678a, Holotype F *Xyalophora tintini* van Noort, Buffington & Forshage (red label) [point-mounted on white card] (RMCA).

PARATYPES. NAMIBIA, 1 male: South West Africa (W22), Kuiseb river canyon, 22–23.i.1972, Riverside vegetation, Southern African Exp. B.M.1972-1 (BMNH). **SOUTH AFRICA**, 1 female: Cape Province, Swellendam, ii.1932, S. Africa, R.E. Turner, Brit Mus., 1932-145 (BMNH).

Diagnosis. Occiput with parallel carinae (but with some discontinuous reticulation on left hand side of occiput in holotype female). Head distinctly (1.25×) wider than long as in *X. provancheri* (*X. tedjoansi* subquadrate 1.1×). Scutellar spine shorter, 0.5× length of the scutellum (excluding spine) as in *X. provancheri* (longer, 0.8× in *X. tedjoansi*). Notauli widened posteriorly, narrow in *X. tedjoansi*. Median mesoscutal impression distinct. Marginal cell 2.0× as long as wide (2.5× in *X. provancheri*). Wings hyaline, infuscate around venation in *X. tedjoansi*.

Distribution. Democratic Republic of Congo, Namibia, South Africa.

Etymology. The specific epithet *tintini* is in the genitive case and is for Tintin, the comic book character by Hergé, whose adventures in the Congo have done much to popularise the country in a very controversial manner in parts of the world. The *Xyalophora* spine may possibly suggest Tintin's famous tuft of hair.

Description. FEMALE. Length 2.75 mm. Head, mesosoma and metasoma black. Antennae and legs dark brown except for coxae, which are black. Wings transparent; without any infuscation.

Head. Head transverse. 1.25× wider than long. Entire head, including eyes, with scattered pubescence, pubescence densest on lower face. Eyes not laterally extended, almost confluent with outer margin of gena in frontal view. Antennae damaged in holotype, only 10 segments remaining on left antennae (right antenna missing); F1 equal in length to F2; flagellum widening toward remaining end. Vertex weakly rugulose, polished adjacent to lateral ocelli, ocellar plate slightly raised, polished, weakly laterally defined with confused carinae; lateral ocellus diameter 0.65× the distance between lateral and median ocellus (COC); POC:OOC:COC = 30:20:17.




Figure 11. *Xyalophora tintini* sp. n., holotype female. **A** lateral habitus **B** dorsal habitus **C** head and mesosoma, lateral view **D** head and mesosoma, dorsal view **E** head and mesosoma, posterior-dorsal view **F** head, anterior view.

Upper face rugose, antennal scrobes not delimited, but scrobal area with parallel striations directed towards medial rugose area anterior to medial ocellus. Occiput weakly concave in dorsal view, rugulose, with some parallel carinae, medially polished. Lower face rugulose, weakly humped between toruli and clypeal margin, slightly protruding in lateral view. Upper clypeal margin defined by pronounced excavation containing anterior tentorial pits. Clypeus with strong medial longitudinal hump dorsally, concave ventrally with strong, long pubescence; clypeal margin evenly convex. Gena finely colliculate in malar space, coriaceous medially and dorsally; genal carina defined with strong linear alveolations.

Mesosoma. With scattered strong pubescence. Anterior plate of pronotum polished, glabrous dorsally and medially, setose laterally on bridge; fovea closed with broad lateral bridge; plate dorsally and laterally defined by strong pronotal carina. Lateral surface of pronotum horizontally striate, anterio-medially and ventrally with patch of white setae. Mesoscutum polished with lines of strong white setae each side of notauli and along lateral margins of scutum. Notauli almost complete, terminating just before anterior margin of mesocutum; transversely striate; posteriorly broadening abruptly prior to narrowing again at posterior mesoscutal margin; widened posteriorly (maximum width 0.7× the minimum distance separating them towards posterior mesoscutal margin); median mesoscutal impression present as small circular concavity; parascutal impressions defined, sculpturing similar to notauli. Mesoscutum convex and scutellum anteriorly humped in lateral view. Scutellar fovea each with an incomplete longitudinal carina. Scutellum strongly areolate-rugose. Scutellar spine elongate, 0.5× scutellar length (excluding spine). Mesopleural triangle defined without ventral carina, strongly pubescent; posterior half (except for polished speculum) of mesopleuron horizontally striate, anterior half rugulose-punctate; mesopleural carina defined. Metepisternum ventrally excavated with pubescence, medially rugulose. Metepimeron depressed with pubescence. Dorsellum laterally strongly excavated. Lateral propodeal carina present. Lateral propodeal area densely pubescent. Rs+M of forewing weakly defined distally at junction with 2r, but otherwise absent. Basalis vein present. M+Cu1 absent. Marginal cell 2.0× as long as wide, closed along wing margin with weak vein. Margin with fringe of setae. Legs sparsely punctate, pubescent. Metacoxa stongly and densely pubescent. Mesotibial and metatibial outer spur shorter than inner spur. Ratio of first metatibial segment to the remaining 4 segments: 0.88×.

Metasoma. Tergites polished. First tergite (petiole) short, longitudinally striate, 3.5× as wide as long in dorsal view; 5× higher than long in lateral view; a third of nucha length in dorsal view. T4 the largest tergite. Relative dorsal length of T3–T4: 7:10; T5–T8 hidden beneath T4. Ovipositor valves not extending beyond apex of metasoma, concealed within T8. Hypopygium not extending beyond T8.

MALE. Length 2 mm. Head, mesosoma and metasoma black. Antennae reddishbrown; legs brown except for coxae, which are dark brown and femur/tibial junctions which are paler. Wings transparent; without any infuscation.

Head. As in female, except for antenna with 14 segments: F1 0.85× length of F2; F3-F7 equivalent in length to F2. F8–F11 equivalent in length to F1; ultimate segment 1.65× F1; and occiput with parallel semi-reticulate carina, medially polished.

Mesosoma as in female, except for stronger forewing venation and slightly more hirsute wing surface and fringe.

Metasoma. Tergites polished. First tergite (petiole) short, polished with isolated longitudinal striae, 3× as wide as long in dorsal view; equivalent to nucha length in dorsal view. T4 the largest tergite. Relative dorsal length of T3–T5: 7:9:1; T6–T7 hidden beneath T5.



Figure 12. *Xyalophora tintini* sp. n., holotype female. **A** head and mesosoma, dorso-lateral view **B** head and partial mesosoma, anterio-dorsal view **C** forewing and hind wing **D** data labels.

Comments. The much smaller second female from South Africa has a very thin, polished second tergite, with no discernable longitudinal striations. Possibly these are hidden under the overlapping anterior margin of the third tergite. Otherwise this specimen keys to *X. tintini*. This species is very similar to *X. provancheri* only being separated by the shorter first tergite and slightly broader marginal cell. The tendency towards rugulose sculpture on the occiput of the holotype specimen is likely to be related to the larger size of the holotype and not diagnostically useful.

Conclusion

Representatives of Afrotropical Figitinae are rare in collections and the available specimens probably represent a superficial gathering of actual species richness. Very few countries are represented in the material that was available for examination and with further sampling, potentially using less commonly deployed collecting techniques such as carrion traps, fecal traps and emergence traps, many more specimens are anticipated. However, from experience with Malaise trapping projects in central, east and southern Africa, Afrotropical Figitidae may be locally abundant, and if the trap is in the wrong place at the wrong time, the wasps will be missed. These projects, which have resulted in months of Malaise trap samples, yielded precious few Figitinae. In contrast, Malaise trapping programs in North, Central and South America have yielded many specimens and species of Figitinae, but these samples emanate from traps sited in close association with areas of human disturbance and/or domesticated livestock. Since core figitines are associated with brachyceran flies, it is logical that we would find these wasps where we find the flies, and many of these flies are associated with homo-specific environments. Perhaps future collecting in the Afrotropical Region, in or near homo-specific environments, will yield hitherto unknown species of Figitinae.

Acknowledgements

Simon van Noort was funded by South African NRF (National Research Foundation) grants: GUN 2068865; GUN 61497; GUN 79004; GUN 79211; GUN 81139. Field work by SvN was supported by WWF-US and WWF-CARPO. The Ministers of Water, Forests and the Environment and the High Commissioners for tertiary Education and Research of the Central African Republic granted permission to carry out the inventory survey and to export the specimens as part of the WWF-US CAR field expedition conducted in 2001. MLB was funded by the Systematic Entomology Lab, USDA/ARS. We also acknowledge MorphBank (http://www.morphbank.net), Florida State University, School of Computational Science, Tallahassee, FL 32306-4026 USA. The collections in SAMC have been made available through considerable curatorial efforts by Dawn Larsen and Aisha Mayekiso. Thank you to Eliane de Coninck (RMCA); Christoffer Fägerström and Christer Hansson (ZMLU); and David Notton (BMNH), for hosting visits to their entomology collections and for loan of material. The USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

References

- Ashmead WH (1887) On the cynipidous galls of Florida, with descriptions of new species and Synopses of the described species of North America. Transactions of the American Entomological Society 14: 125–158.
- Belizin VI (1952) [Wasps of the subfamily Aspicerinae (Hymenoptera, Cynipidae) in the Fauna of the USSR]. Entomologicheskoye Obozreniye 32: 290–303.
- Benoit PLG (1956) Figitinae nouveaux du Congo Belge (Cynip.-Figitidae). Revue de Zoologie et de Botanique Africaines 53: 377–384.
- Buffington ML, van Noort S (2009) A world revision of *Anacharoides* Cameron, 1904 (Hymenoptera: Figitidae) with a description of a new species. Zookeys 20: 245–274. doi: 10.3897/ zookeys.20.124
- Buffington ML, Burks R, McNeil L (2005) Advanced techniques for imaging microhymenoptera. American Entomologist 51: 50–54.

- Buffington ML, Gates M (2009) Advanced imaging techniques II: using a compound microscope for photographing point-mount specimens. American Entomologist 54: 222–224.
- Buffington ML, Nylander JAA, Heraty J (2007) The phylogeny and evolution of Figitidae (Hymenoptera: Cynipoidea). Cladistics 23: 1–29. doi: 10.1111/j.1096-0031.2007.00153.x
- Cameron P (1883) Fam. Figitidae. In: Godman FO, Salvin O (Eds) Biologia Centrali-Americana or Contributions to the knowledge of the fauna and flora of Mexico and Central America, v. I (Hymenoptera). Taylor & Francis edit, London, 73–75.
- Dalla Torre KW, Kieffer JJ (1910) Cynipidae. Das Tierreich 24. Berlin, 891 pp.
- Díaz NB, Gallardo F, Marchiori C, Linhares A (2000) About Cynipoidea of Brazil, parasitoids of dung-flies. II (Insecta, Hymenoptera). Anais da Sociedade Entomolológica do Brasil 29 (3): 469–474. doi: 10.1590/S0301-80592000000300009
- Fontal-Cazalla FM, Buffington M, Nordlander G, Liljeblad J, Ros-Farré P, Nieves-Aldrey JL, Pujade-Villar J, Ronquist F (2002) Phylogeny of the Eucoilinae (Hymenoptera: Cynipoidea: Figitidae). Cladistics 18: 154–199. doi: 10.1111/j.1096-0031.2002.tb00147.x
- Förster A (1869) Ueber die Gallwespen. Verhandlungen der kaiserlich-königlichen zoologischbotanischen Gesellschaft in Wien 19: 327–370.
- Harris RA(1979) A glossary of surface sculpturing. Occasional Papers in Entomology 28: 1–31.
- Hartig T (1840) Ueber die Familie der Gallwespen. Zeitschrift für die Entomologie 2: 176–209.
- Hedicke H (1913) Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Cynipiden. iv. Ent. Mitt. 2: 146–148.
- Hennig W (1976) 63a Anthomyiidae, T 3. In: Lindner E (Ed.) Die Fliegen der palearktischen Region. Stuttgart, 681–974.
- Ionescu MA (1969) Hymenoptera Cynipoidea. Fauna Republicii Socialiste România, Insecta vol IX, fasc 6, 292 pp.
- James HC (1928) On the life-histories and economic status of certain Cynipid parasites of Dipterous larvae, with descriptions of some new larval forms. Annals of Applied Biology 15: 287–316.
- Jiménez M, Díaz NB, Gallardo F, Ros-Farré P, Pujade-Villar J (2004) Las especies sudamericanas del género *Neralsia* Cameron (Hymenoptera: Cynipoidea: Figitidae: Figitinae): estudio del material tipo. Butlletí de la Institució Catalana d'Història Natural 72: 61–81.
- Jiménez M, Díaz NB, Gallardo F, Ros-Farré P, Pujade-Villar J (2005a) Resultados preliminares del estudio de las especies sudamericanas del género *Neralsia* Cameron (Hymenoptera: Cynipoidea: Figitidae: Figitinae). Sessió Conjunta d'Entomologia de la Institució Catalana d'Història Natural y la Societat Catalana de Lepidopterologia 13 (2003): 73–84.
- Jiménez M, Díaz NB, Gallardo F, Ros-Farré P, Pujade-Villar J (2005b) Descripción de ocho especies sudamericanas del género *Neralsia* Cameron 1883, con carena escutelar alta (Hymenoptera: Cynipoidea: Figitidae). Nouvelle Revue d'Entomologie (N.S.) 22(2): 165–179.
- Jiménez M, Díaz NB, Gallardo F, Ros-Farré P, Pujade-Villar J (2006) Descripción de nueve especies sudamericanas del género *Neralsia* Cameron con carena escutelar baja (Hymenoptera: Cynipoidea: Figitidae). Neotropical Entomology 31(1): 59–69. doi: 10.1590/ S1519-566X2006000100009
- Jiménez M, Paretas-Martínez J, Pujade-Villar J (2007) Revision of the South American species of *Neralsia* (Hymenoptera: Figitidae) with the description of eight new species. Revista

de Biologia Tropical (International Journal of Tropical Biology) 56(2): 795–828. doi: 10.15517/rbt.v56i2.5625

- Jimenéz M, Paretas-Martínez J, Pujade-Villar J (2008a) Revisión de las especies de *Neralsia* de Centroamérica y las Antillas, con la descripción de once especies nuevas (Hymenoptera: Figitidae: Figitinae). Dugesiana 15(1): 45–68.
- Jiménez M, Paretas-Martínez J, Pujade-Villar J (2008b) Revision of the species of Neralsia (Hymenoptera: Figitidae: Figitinae) from North America. Annals of the Entomological Society of America 101(6): 993–1002. doi: 10.1603/0013-8746-101.6.993
- Jiménez M, Paretas-Martínez J, Pujade-Villar J (2008c) Revision of *Xyalophora* Kieffer and description of *Xyalophoroides* gen. n. (Hymenoptera: Figitidae: Figitinae). European Journal of Entomology 105: 751–769. doi: 10.14411/eje.2008.101
- Jiménez M, Pujade-Villar J (2009) Descripción de nueva especie de *Neralsia* (Hymenoptera: Figitinae) de Bolivia. Boletín Sociedad Entomológica Aragonesa 45: 313–315.
- Kerr PH, Fisher EM, Buffington ML (2008) Dome lighting for insect imaging under a microscope. American Entomologist 54: 198–200.
- Kieffer JJ (1901) Notes sur les Cynipides (Hymén.). Bulletin de la Societe Entomologique de France 1901: 343–344.
- Latreille PA (1802) Histoire Naturelle, Générale et Particulière, des Crustacés et des Insectes. 14 Vols, 3. F. Dufart, Paris.
- Penev L, Sharkey M, Erwin T, van Noort S, Buffington M, Seltmann K, Johnson NF, Taylor M, Thompson FC, Dallwitz MJ (2009) Data publication and dissemination of interactive keys under the open access model. ZooKeys working example. Forum paper. ZooKeys 21: 1–17. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.21.274
- Petersen-Silva R, Pujade-Villar J (2010) Descripción de dos nuevas especies del género *Neralsia* Cameron de Venezuela (Hymenoptera: Cynipoidea: Figitidae). Dugesiana 17(1): 49–52.
- Petersen-Silva R, Jiménez M, García JL, Pujade-Villar J (2010) Nuevos aportes al conocimiento de las especies del género *Neralsia* Cameron (Hymenoptera: Figitidae: Figitinae) en Venezuela. Boletín de la Sociedad Entomológica Aragonesa 46: 373–376.
- Pujade-Villar J, Paretas-Martínez J, Jiménez M (2006) Description of a new species of *Neralsia* Cameron with a wide distribution in the American continent: *N. incompleta* n. sp. (Hymenoptera: Figitidae: Figitinae). Annales de la Société Entomologique de France (n.s.) 42(1): 45–49.
- Ronquist F (1999) Phylogeny, classification and evolution of the Cynipoidea. Zoologica Scripta 28: 139–164. doi: 10.1046/j.1463-6409.1999.00022.x
- Ronquist F, Nordlander G (1989) Skeletal morphology of an archaic cynipoid, *Ibalia rufipes* (Hymenoptera, Ibaliidae). Entomologica Scandinavica, Suppl. 33: 1–60.
- Thomas G, Morgan C (1972) Parasites of the horn fly in Missouri. Journal of Economic Entomology 65: 169–174.
- Thomson CG (1862) Försök till uppställning och beskrifning af Sveriges Figiter. Öfversigt af Kongl. Vetenskaps-Akademiens Förhandlingar 18: 395–400.