

**A Revision of the Malagasy Ants Belonging to the
Genus *Monomorium* Mayr, 1855 (Hymenoptera: Formicidae)
Amendment**

Brian Heterick¹

*Department of Entomology, California Academy of Sciences,
55 Music Concourse Drive, San Francisco CA 94118*

The author has been alerted to the presence of several errors in the following publication that require correction:

Brian Heterick. 2007. A Revision of the Malagasy ants Belonging to the Genus *Monomorium* Mayr, 1855 (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). *Proceedings of the California Academy of Sciences*, ser. 4, 57(3): 69-202.

The following corrections apply:

Keys (original p. 84–88): The published key to the *Monomorium* ant species contains a small error that may confuse users of the key. The word ‘**basal**’ needs to be inserted in the first lug of couplet 27 (shown below in italics) in place of the word ‘**apical**’. The corrected lug will read:

‘27. PF 3,2; four mandibular teeth, *basal* tooth twice as large as t3, sharp and downcurved (depigmented, pale yellow species) (Fig. 77). *M. ferodens* Heterick sp. nov.

Maps (original pp. 188–191): Ten of the distribution maps (namely, Figs 21–24, 26–27, 29–30, 32, and 34) included in the monograph were incorrectly reproduced. As published, they duplicate the data given in Figure 20. These maps appeared on pages 188–191 and those pages are reproduced here (now numbered 720–722) with the corrected figures and their captions. Original figure F. 20 is repeated here for the purpose of comparison; Figures 25, 28, 31, and 32 are also originals.

¹ Current address: Associate Lecturer, Department of Environmental Biology, Curtin University of Technology, GPO Box U1987 Perth WA 6845, Australia



FIGURE 20. Distribution of *M. nigricans* (■) and *M. lepidum* (□) specimens examined during this study.



FIGURE 21. Distribution of *M. madecassum* (■) specimens examined during this study.



FIGURE 22. Distribution of *M. micrommaton* (□) and *M. platynodis* (■) specimens examined during this study.



FIGURE 23. Distribution of *M. sakalavum* (■) specimens examined during this study.



FIGURE 24. Distribution of *M. termitobium* (■) specimens examined during this study.




FIGURE 25. Distribution of *M. versicolor* (□) and *M. xuthosoma* (■) specimens examined during this study.



FIGURE 26. Distribution of *M. han-neli* (■) specimens examined during this study.



FIGURE 27. Distribution of *M. ad-astolon* (□), *M. ferodens* (●), and *M. gongromos* (▲) specimens examined during this study.




FIGURE 28. Distribution of *M. aure-orugosum* (□) and *M. infuscum* (■) specimens examined during this study.



FIGURE 29. Distribution of *M. cryp-tobium* (■) specimens ex-amin-ed during this study.



FIGURE 30. Distribution of *M. fish-eri* (■) specimens examined during this study.




FIGURE 31. Scattergram showing relationship between head-mesosoma length (HML) and scape length (SL) for different morphotypes of *M. fisheri* sp. nov.

FIGURE 33. Scattergram showing relationship between head-mesosoma length (HML) and scape length (SL) for different morphotypes of *M. hildebrandti* sp. nov.



FIGURE 32. Distribution of *M. hildebrandti* (■) specimens examined during this study.



FIGURE 34. Distribution of *M. sechellense* (□) and *M. modestum* (■) specimens examined during this study.