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#### Abstract

The chrysidid genus Loboscelidia is reviewed and 11 new species are described, including L. cinnamonea (Borneo), L. fulgens (Viet Nam), L. fulva (Thailand), L. incompleta (India), L. kafae (Borneo), L. laminata (Viet Nam), L. meifungae (Borneo), L. nasiformis (Thailand), L. nitidula (Thailand), L. pecki (Viet Nam), and $L$. sisik (Borneo). A key to males of the species of Loboscelidia is given.
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## Introduction

Loboscelidiinae is one of the smaller subfamilies in the family Chrysididae. The subfamily contains two genera, Loboscelidia Westwood, 1874 and Rhadinoscelidia Kimsey, 1988. As of the publication of Kimsey and Bohart (1991), Loboscelidia contained 30 species and Rhadinoscelidia one species. Since then four Loboscelidia and two Rhadinoscelidia species have been added (Kojima and Ubaidillah 2003, Terayama et al. 1998,

Xu et al. 2006). An additional 11 new Loboscelidia species are described below. This study focuses on males and their characteristics as the systematics of the group is focused primarily on this sex due to the rareness of females in collections and the strong sexual dimorphism between males and females.

The subfamily is primarily south Asian with four northern Australian species. Every major south Asian island may have at least one endemic species of Loboscelidia, and every new intensive collecting effort using Malaise traps or flight-intercept traps turns up new species. Thus, the loboscelidiine fauna appears to be largely under-sampled.

Loboscelidiines are among the most aberrant-looking and highly modified chrysidids, and as a result their actual family and even superfamily placement has varied considerably over the years. These are small-bodied, non-metallic brown wasps, with a superficial resemblance to members of the family Diapriidae (see Fig. 1). In fact Westwood (1874) originally described Loboscelidia as a species of diapriid (Superfamily Proctotrupoidea). Ashmead (1902) then moved the genus to the family Figitidae (Superfamily Cynipoidea). Maa and Yoshimoto (1961) then moved the genus Loboscelidia into its own family, Loboscelidiidae (Superfamily Bethyloidea). Finally, after making a detailed analysis of the metasomal morphology Day (1978) concluded that the group actually belonged in the family Chrysididae (Superfamily Chrysidoidea).

Loboscelidiines are characterized by a number of unusual features (Figs 1, 2). The antennae insert horizontally on a shelf-like extension in the middle of the face (the shelf-like extension is termed the frontal projection below); the vertex is prolonged posteriorly into a neck-like projection fringed with ribbon-like setae; the pronotum is not freely hinged to the scutum and has a short line of ribbon-like setae along the anterolateral corner; the tegula is very large, covering both wing bases, and is held in place by a ridge on the mesopleuron; the mesopleuron is smooth without sculpturing, except for a shallow, trough-like scrobal sulcus in some species, the propodeum lacks a dorsal surface and has an ear-like lateral projection over the spiracle, and the forewing lacks a stigma, costal and subcostal veins.

Distinctions between Loboscelidia and Rhadinoscelidia have been summarized in Kimsey and Bohart (1991). Briefly Loboscelidia can be distinguished from Rhadinoscelidia by the forewing venation extending into the basal one-third to one half of the wing (considerably less than one-fourth in Rhadinoscelidia), vertex convex or flat behind the ocelli, not sharply declivitous as in Rhadinoscelidia, and cervical expansion continuous with head, without discrete posterior expansion and with well-developed genal and cervical fringe. Cervical expansion basally constricted and shield-like posteriorly, with small discontinuous genal and cervical fringes in Rhadinoscelidia.

Members of the genus Loboscelidia are strongly sexually dimorphic, which has led to confusion over generic placement and sex associations. The genus Scelidoloba Maa \& Yoshimoto, 1961 was erected for what turned out to be female Loboscelidia (Day 1978). Males have five external metasomal segments and a long slender flagellum. Females are heavier bodied than the males, with a shorter, broader flagellum and an externally foursegmented metasoma. It's not clear how many characteristics are shared between the two sexes as fewer than $15 \%$ of specimens in collections are female and more than one


Figure I. Habitus photograph of male Loboscelidia sp. in Queensland, Australia. Photo courtesy of Alex Wild; myrmecos.net.
species may be present in a single locality. However, the sexes do seem to share some modifications of the wing venation (presence and shape, or absence of the medial vein), shape of the frontal projection, and presence or absence of the scrobal sulcus and notauli.

Little is known of the biology of the Loboscelidiinae. Specimens are rare in collections. However, this situation is probably more a reflection of collecting techniques used and sites visited than any indication of abundance. Malaise trapping in Thailand as part of the National Science Foundation funded TIGER project has yielded more than 100 Loboscelidia specimens, more than all other museum holdings. The small number of female Loboscelidia collected relative to males may be due to their differing habits. Males may be more frequently caught in traps because they tend to frequent low vegetation and the surface of leaf litter searching for females. Females may spend most of their time in cryptic situations, for example under bark or in the leaf litter, searching for hosts.

The morphology of the female ovipositor and mandibles closely resembles that of the Amiseginae, suggesting that loboscelidiines, like amisegines parasitize walking stick eggs. There is one report of an unidentified species of Loboscelidia reared from the eggs of the phasmatid Acrophylla sp. (Riek 1970). It is also possible, given the structural modifications of the group, including the leg and antennal flanges, the very large tegula and the tegular clip that Loboscelidia females at least may search for walking stick eggs in ant nests. Fouts (1922) suggested that the group is myrmecophilous based on the odd morphology. Walking stick eggs may be collected by ants because of the egg's strong resemblance to seeds.


Figure 2. Diagram of lateral views of male Loboscelidia pecki. A Head, antenna removed. B Habitus of body. C Hindleg: (a) tubular part of femur width (b) femoral flange width (c) femoral flange length (d) femoral length. Abbreviations: $\mathbf{H L}=$ head length $\mathbf{H W}=$ head breadth $\mathbf{M}+\mathbf{C u}=$ media + cubital veins $\mathbf{M}=$ medial vein $\mathbf{c u}-\mathbf{a}=$ cubital-anal cross vein $\mathbf{R}=$ radial vein $\mathbf{R} \mathbf{I}=$ first radial branch $\mathbf{R} \boldsymbol{s}=$ radial sector $\mathbf{S S}=$ scrobal sulcus.

## Materials and methods

Specimens were borrowed from the following museums, and type repositories are indicated by the acronyms: AEI - American Entomological Institute, Gainesville, Florida); ANIC - Australian National Insect Collection; BME - Bohart Museum of Entomology, University of California, Davis, USA; BMNH - The Natural History Museum, London, UK; BPBM - Bishop Museum, Honolulu, Hawaii, USA; CAS - California Academy of Sciences, San Francisco, USA, CNC - Canadian National Insect Collection, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; CSIRO, Canberra, Australia, Australian National Insect Collection; MNHN - Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris; QSBG - Chiang Mai Royal Botanical Garden, Chiang Mai, Thailand; ROM - Royal Ontario Museum, Toronto, Canada; UCR - Entomological Research Museum, University of California, Riverside, USA, and USNM - U.S. National Museum, Washington, D.C., USA.

Additional type repositories include: CASB - Institute of Zoology, etc.; Institute of Zoology, Beijing, China; MZB - Museum Zoologicum Bogoriense Cibinong, Indonesia; NMNS - National Museum of Natural Science, Taichung, Taiwan; OUMNH - Oxford University Museum of Natural History, Oxford, UK; QDPI - CSIRO Long Pocket Laboratories, Indooroopily, Queensland, Australia; SCAC - Hymenoptera Collection, South


Figure 3. Distribution map of the genus Loboscelidia in south Asia and Australia.

China Agricultural University, Guangzhou, and ZFCL - Hymenoptera Collection, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China.

Morphological terminology follows that used by Kimsey and Bohart (1991) and is further described in Fig. 2. The hindwing lacks venation, so wing vein characters are only for the forewing. Wing veins are given in the text as abbreviations: $\mathrm{Cu}=$ cubital vein, $\mathrm{cu}-\mathrm{a}=$ cubital-anal cross vein, $\mathrm{M}=$ medial vein, $\mathrm{R}=$ radial vein, $\mathrm{Rs}=$ radial sector, R 1 = first radial branch. Scrobal sulcus refers to the transverse trough on the mesopleuron below the forewing ending in the scrobe adjacent to the metapleuron. The shape of the frontal projection is determined viewed in front view. It is considered triangular if the ventral angle of the projection ends in a point or the flat surface is less than one-tenth the length of the upper surface. The projection is considered rectangular if it is a true rectangle or rhomboid. Head length versus width is measured from the apex of the cervical extension to the furthermost point of the frontal projection and across the widest part of the head in lateral view. Antennal articles are measured at the point of greatest breadth and compared with the total length of the article. Wing veins are compared relative to the length of R1. Pronotal dimensions are measured from the medial length of the pronotum in dorsal view to the distance between the apices of the posterolateral angles. The length of a leg flange is measured from the basal joint to the apex of the segment along the ventral margin. The relative width of leg flanges are measured across the broadest part of the flange relative to the tubular part of the segment at the same point.

## Key to males of the species of Loboscelidia

1 M vein incomplete medially or absent (as in Figs 24, 26, 28, 35)................ 2

- M vein complete........................................................................................ 6

2 M vein incomplete medially, Rs twice as long as R (Fig. 28); India
incompleta sp. n .

- $\quad \mathrm{M}$ vein absent, Rs less than twice as long (as in Fig. 26) or $2.5 \times$ as long as R ... 3

3 Propodeum broadly angulate dorsomedially in posterior view; Borneo
bakeri Fouts

- Propodeum flat to gently convex dorsally in posterior view

4 Fore, mid and hindtibiae without measurable flanges (Fig. 46); Laos, Viet Nam; Thailand reducta Maa \& Yoshimoto

- Fore, mid and hindtibiae with flanges $0.9 \times$ as long and $0.3-1.0 \times$ as wide as tubular part of respective tibia5

5 Rs less than $1.5 \times$ as long as R, A less than $0.5 \times$ as long as $\mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{M}$ (Fig. 27); Viet Nam.

> .fulgens sp. n.

- $\quad$ Rs more than twice as long as R, A $0.9-1.1 \times$ as long as $\mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{M}$; China. guangxiensis Xu
Gena and often legs with scattered scale-like setae (as in Fig. 16) 7
- Gena and legs without scale-like setae......................................................... 8

7 M straight medially (Fig. 36); scape less than $3 \times$ as long as broad; Borneo ....
$\qquad$
M curved submedially; scape more than $3 \times$ as long as broad; Viet Nam
asiana Kimsey
8 Vertex extension flattened in lateral view, not depressed behind ocelli (as in Fig. 11); foretibia without transparent flange, except in nitidula (as in Fig. 45)...... 9 Vertex extension convex in lateral view, depressed behind ocelli (as in Fig. 4); foretibial flange usually present .................................................................. 12 Tibial flanges well-developed (as in Fig. 45); scrobal sulcus present .......... 10

- Tibial flanges represented by posterior ridge or absent (as in Fig. 42); scrobal sulcus absent

11
10 Rs 3.2-4.0× as long as R; R1 and cu-a shorter than R (Fig. 33); Thailand .... nitidula sp. n.

- Rs 2.5-3.0× as long as R or shorter; R1 and cu-a as long as R; Taiwan R; legs smooth, not striate; Borneo, Sumatra .........................brunnea Fouts
- Propodeum with transverse subapical carina; cu-a more than $0.5 \times$ as long as R; legs extensively longitudinally striate (Fig. 42); Borneo, Malaysia, Singapore, Sumatra maculipennis Fouts
12 M straight medially (as in Fig. 27) ............................................................ 13
- M curved submedially............................................................................... 18

Scutum without notauli (as in Fig. 22)
14

Scutum with notauli (as in Figs 21, 23) 15
14 Hindfemoral flange $2.5 \times$ as wide as tubular part of femur; hindtibial flange twice as wide as tubular part of tibia; Australia..................maculata Kimsey

- Hindfemoral flange twice as wide as tubular part of femur; hindtibal flange as wide as tubular part of tibia; Australia. ora Kimsey
15 Scrobal sulcus present at least as a series of pits or foveae (as in Fig. 2); scape 3.0× as long as broad or shorter; cu-a $0.3 \times$ as long or longer than R (as in Fig. 27) ... 16
- $\quad$ Scrobal sulcus absent; scape $3.5 \times$ as long or longer as broad; cu-a absent... 17 16 Face frontal projection rhomboid or rectangular in front view; Rs $3.0 \times$ as long as R (Fig. 27); midtibial flange more than half as long and wide as tubular part of tibia (Fig. 39); Thailand, Sumatra fulva sp. n .
- Face frontal projection triangular in front view; Rs $2.5 \times$ as long as broad or shorter (Fig. 31); midtibial flange absent or less than half as long and wide as tubular part of tibia (Fig. 43); Borneo. meifungae sp. n.
Rs more than twice as long as R , more than $0.8 \times$ as long as $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cu}$; Java
balimunensis Kojima
Rs less than twice as long as R, A $0.5-0.7 \times$ as long as $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cu}$; Philippines.....
defecta Kieffer

19 Scutum without notauli; face with frontal projection rhomboid in front view (as in Fig. 20); flagellomeres I-II each less than twice as long as broad; Australia. australis Kimsey Scutum with notauli about half as long as scutum; face with frontal projection linear to broadly triangular or V-shaped in front view (as in Fig. 19); flagellomeres I-II each twice or more as long as broad. 20
20 Foretibia without transparent flange; hindfemoral flange half as wide as femur; Rs more than $3 \times$ as long as R; New Britain. cervix Maa \& Yoshimoto

- Foretibia with transparent flange; hindfemoral flange as wide as femur; Rs less than $3 \times$ as long as R; New Britain..................parva Maa \& Yoshimoto
21 Frontal projection nearly linear in front view (as in Fig. 18); cu-a as long as R 22
- Frontal projection rectangular, rhomboid (as in Fig. 20) (extremely elongate in nasiformis) or triangular (as in Fig. 19); cu-a shorter than R or absent.. 23
22 Foretibial flange half as wide as tubular part of tibia; midtibial flange half as long and half as wide as tubular part of tibia; New Guinea .... novoguineana Kimsey
- Foretibial flange as wide as tubular part of tibia; midtibial flange $1.5 \times$ as long and as wide as tibia tubular part of; Australia $\qquad$ nigricephala Kimsey
23 Face with frontal projection elongate and nasiform; head nearly $3 \times$ as long as broad (Fig. 13); Thailand.
.nasiformis sp. n .
Face with fro
long as broad ..... 24
24
cu-a less than $0.2 \times$ as long as $R$ or absent ..... 25
cu-a $0.2-0.4 \times$ as long as R ..... 26R1 absent or less than $0.4 \times$ as long as R, Rs less than $2.2 \times$ as long as R (Fig.25); Thailand, Borneo, Singapore, Malayacinnamonea sp. n .
Midfemoral flange $0.3 \times$ as long as femur; R1 less than $0.3 \times$ as long as R andA vein as long as $\mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{M}$; Chinasinensis Kimsey
有 ..... 27
27
R 1 reaching R at nearly right angle; pronotal length $0.4-0.6 \times$ width acrossposterolateral angles or shorter; Chinalevigata Yao, Liu \& Xu
R 1 reaching R at obt
posterolateral angles ..... 28
28
Scrobal sulcus absent ..... 29
Scrobal sulcus present (as in Fig. 2) ..... 31
29
Propodeum with transverse subapical carina; metanotum less than $0.3 \times$ aslong as scutellum; Borneo, Sula IsPropodeum without transverse subapical carina; metanotum more than $0.3 \times$as long as scutellum30
30
Scape more than $3.0 \times$ as long as broad; hindtibial flange wider than tubular part of tibia; Philippines philippinensis Fouts
part of tibia; Borneo, Sula Is
rufescens Westwood
31
Frontal projection triangular (as in Fig. 19) ..... 32
Frontal projection rhomboid or rectangular (as in Fig. 20) ..... 37
32
laotiana KimseyRs more than $3.0 \times$ as long as R; flagellomere I less than twice as long as broad;
Laos, Sumatra....................................................................laotiana Kimsey
Rs $2.5-3.0 \times$ or less as long as R; flagellomere I twice or more as long asbroad33
33 Flagellomere XI more than $4.0 \times$ as long as broad ..... 34
Flagellomere XI $4.0 \times$ or less as long as broad ..... 35
34 Scape less than $3 \times$ as long as broad; forefemoral flange half as wide as tubularpart of femur; hindtibial flange as wide as tubular part of tibia or narrower;Philippines
nigra Fouts
- $\quad$ Scape more than $3 \times$ as long as broad; forefemoral flange as wide as tubularpart of femur; hindtibial flange twice as wide as tubular part of tibia; SriLankacastanea Krombein
35
Hindtibial flange less than $1.5 \times$ as wide as tubular part of tibia; flagellomereXI less than $3 \times$ as long as broad; Philippines

|  | Hindtibial flange more than $1.5 \times$ as long as wide as tubular part of tibia; flagellomere XI more than $3 \times$ as long as broad. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 36 | Hindtibial flange 2.0-2.5x as wide as tubular part of tibia (as in Fig. 41); |
|  | Singapore....................................................................... collaris Fouts |
| - | Hindtibial flange less than twice as wide as tubular part of tibia (as in Fig. 40); |
|  | Borneo, Sulawesi.................................................. sarawakensis Kimsey |
| 37 | Scape 3.9-4.1× as long as broad, flagellomere XI 3.9-4.1× as long as broad; |
|  | Philippines.........................................................................rufa Fouts |
| - | Scape less than $3.8 \times$ as long as broad; flagellomere XI less than $3.8 \times$ as long as broad |
| 38 | Foretibial flange narrower than tubular part of tibia (as in Fig. 40) .......... 39 |
| - | Foretibial flange as wide or wider than tubular part of tibia (as in Fig. 41)..... 40 |
| 39 | Rs twice as long as R; scape $3 \times$ as long as broad; flagellomere I twice as long as broad; Sri Lanka $\qquad$ atra Krombein |
| - | Rs $3 \times$ as long as R; scape less than $3 \times$ as long as broad; flagellomere I less than twice as long as broad; Viet Nam, Thailand $\qquad$ laminata sp. n |
| 40 | Fore and midtibial flanges as wide as or narrower than tubular part of respective tibiae; Thailand, Laos, Viet Nam, Malaya, Borneo . $\qquad$ kafae sp. n. |
| - | Fore and midtibial flanges more than $1.2 \times$ as wide as tubular part of respective tibiae $\qquad$ |
| 41 | A longer than $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{M}$; Rs less than $3.0 \times$ as long as R ; pronotum rounded laterally; India. $\qquad$ indica Kimsey |
| - | A shorter than $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{M}$; Rs $3.4 \times$ as long as R ; pronotum with carinate lateral edge; Borneo, Thailand $\qquad$ pasohana Kimsey |

## Species treatments

## Loboscelidia antennata Fouts

http://species-id.net/wiki/Loboscelidia_antennata
Loboscelidia antennata Fouts 1922: 622. Holotype female; Singapore (USNM).

Material studied. Singapore (USNM); Indonesia: West Kalimantan, Gunung Palung National Park ( 1 female, ROM); 2 female specimens were examined including the holotype.

Diagnosis. The male of this species is unknown, but antennata may very well prove to be the female of brunnea Fouts, based on the triangular frontal projection, flattened cervical expansion, curved medial vein and lack of a scrobal sulcus.

## Loboscelidia asiana Kimsey

http://species-id.net/wiki/Loboscelidia_asiana
Loboscelidia asiana Kimsey 1988: 68. Holotype male; Viet Nam: Dalat (BPBM).
Material studied. Only the holotype was seen.
Diagnosis. The most distinctive feature of Loboscelidia asiana is the presence of spatulate or leaf-like setae on the gena, a character shared only with sisik (as in fig. 16). However, asiana can be distinguished from sisik by the submedially curved medial vein (nearly flat in sisik), scape striate and more than $3.5 \times$ as long as broad (smooth and less than $3 \times$ as long as broad in sisik) and no scrobal sulcus (present in sisik).

## Loboscelidia atra Krombein <br> http://species-id.net/wiki/Loboscelidia_atra

Loboscelidia atra Krombein 1983: 52. Holotype male; Sri Lanka: Sabaragamuwa Prov., Ratnapura Dist., Sinharaja Jungle (USNM).

Material studied. Only the holotype was seen.
Diagnosis. This is one of several species with a well-developed, complete scrobal sulcus. A combination of features will separate atra from these other species, including the rectangular frontal projection (in lateral view), scape more than $3 \times$ as long as broad, cu-a vein less than half as long as R , R s twice as long as R , and metanotum half as long as the scutellum.

## Loboscelidia australis Kimsey

http://species-id.net/wiki/Loboscelidia_australis
Figure 22
Loboscelidia australis Kimsey 1988: 69. Holotype male; Australia: NSW (AEI).
Material studied. Australia: New South Wales, Queensland; two specimens were seen including the holotype.

Diagnosis. This is one of three species (including maculata and ora), all Australian, that lack notauli (as in Fig. 22). L. australis can be distinguished from these by the submedially curved medial vein, rectangular frontal projection, pronotum with sharp lateral fold or ridge, flagellomere XI less than $3 \times$ as long as broad, and fore and midtibial flanges less than $0.5 \times$ as long as their respective tibial lengths.

## Loboscelidia bakeri Fouts

http://species-id.net/wiki/Loboscelidia_bakeri
Figure 24
Loboscelidia bakeri Fouts 1922: 620. Syntype males (not females) (3); Borneo: Sandakan (USNM).

Material studied. Malaysian Borneo, Sabah, Sandakan (2 males, USNM), Kinabalu National Park Poring Hot Springs (2 males including two syntypes, CNC, USNM).

Diagnosis. Loboscelidia bakeri can be immediately distinguished from all other Loboscelidia species by the distinctively dorsomedially up-domed propodeum. It is also one of four species, including fulgens, reducta and ganxiensis that lack a medial vein (as in Fig. 24).

## Loboscelidia brunnea Fouts <br> http://species-id.net/wiki/Loboscelidia_brunnea

Loboscelidia brunnea Fouts 1922: 626. Holotype male (not female); Borneo: Sandakan (USNM).

Material studied. Malaysian Borneo, Sabah; only the holotype was seen.
Diagnosis. Four Loboscelidia species, brunnea, maai, nitidula and maculipennis, have a strongly flattened cervical expansion. L. brunnea can be distinguished from these by the extreme reduction of cu-a, Rs vein less than $3.5 \times$ as long as R , the legs coarsely striate, and hindtibial posterior margin essentially ecarinate.

## Loboscelidia castanea Krombein

http://species-id.net/wiki/Loboscelidia_castanea
Loboscelidia castanea Krombein 1983: 54. Holotype male; Sri Lanka: Sabaragamuwa Prov., Ratnapura Dist., Sinharaja Jungle (USNM).

Material studied. Sri Lanka, Sabaragamuwa Prov.; only the holotype was seen.
Diagnosis. This is one of the species with a complete scrobal sulcus and triangular frontal projection. It shares a long scape (more than $3 \times$ as long as broad) with one of these, laotiana. L. castanea can be distinguished from these species and laotiana by a combination of characters, including cu-a less than $0.5 \times$ as long as $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{A} 0.6 \times$ as long as $\mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{M}$, flagellomere I shorter than II, flagellomere XI more than $4 \times$ as long as broad, and the fore, mid and hindfemoral flanges as broad as the tubular part of the respective femora.

## Loboscelidia cervix Maa \& Yoshimoto

http://species-id.net/wiki/Loboscelidia_cervix
Figure 23
Loboscelidia cervix Maa and Yoshimoto 1961: 546. Holotype male; New Britain: Vudal, near Keravat (BPBM).

Material studied. New Britain: near Keravat only the holotype was seen.
Diagnosis. This is one of two species, including parva, known from New Britain. Both have the notauli not reaching the posterior margin of the scutum (Fig. 23) and the frontal projection sublinear in front view. L. cervix can be distinguished from parva by the shorter scape ( $2.6-2.8 \times$ as long as broad in cervix, $3.0-3.1 \times$ in parva), Rs more than $3 \times$ as long as R (less than $3 \times$ in parva), cu-a longer than R (shorter in parva) and partial scrobal sulcus (absent in parva). The Australian species ora is the only other Loboscelidia with long cu-a longer than R.

## Loboscelidia cinnamonea sp. n.

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:E5A2B8FA-4264-468B-B3A5-B52456903906
http://species-id.net/wiki/Loboscelidia_cinnamonea
Figures 4, 25, 37
Type material. Holotype male: Thailand: Chiang Mai Pr., Doi Chiangdao NP, Pha Tang substation, $526 \mathrm{~m}, 19^{\circ} 24.978^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} 98^{\circ} 54.886^{\prime E}$ E, Malaise trap, 3-9/v/2008, Jugsu \& Watwanich, T5802 (QSBG).

Paratypes ( 25 males): 3 males, same data as type; 1 male: Doi Chiangdao NP, $491 \mathrm{~m}, 19^{\circ} 24.278^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 98^{\circ} 55.311^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, Malaise trap, $15-21 / \mathrm{v} / 2008$, Jugsu \& Watwanich, T5815; 1 male: Doi Chiangdao NP, Pha Tang substation, $491 \mathrm{~m}, 19^{\circ} 24.278^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, 98야․311'E, Malaise trap, $9-15 / \mathrm{v} / 2008$, Jugsu \& Watwanich, T5812; 2 males: Doi Chiangdao NP, Huai Na Lao, $500 \mathrm{~m}, 9^{\circ} 24.731^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 98^{\circ} 55.315^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, YPT $5-6 /$ v/2008, Jugsu \& Watwanich, T5806; 1 male: Doi Chiangdao NP, Huai Na Lao, $500 \mathrm{~m}, 19^{\circ} 24.731^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 98^{\circ} 55.315^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, YPT 9-10/v/2008, Jugsu \& Watwanich, T5811; 1 male: Doi Chiangdao NP, Huai Na Lao, $500 \mathrm{~m}, 19^{\circ} 24.731^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 98^{\circ} 55.315^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{YPT}$ 4-5/v/2008, Jugsu \& Watwanich, T5805; 2 males: Lampang Pr., Chae Son NP, Doi Laan, $18^{\circ} 51.815^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 99^{\circ} 22.122^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 1413 \mathrm{~m}$, Malaise trap, $9-15 / \mathrm{v} / 2008$, Kwannui \& Sukpeng, T5292; 1 male: Chae Son NP, $18^{\circ} 49.894^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, ~ 99^{\circ} 28.354^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 467 \mathrm{~m}$, Malaise trap, 23-30/v/2008, Kwannui \& Sukpeng, T5305; 1 male: Chae Son NP, $18^{\circ} 50.012^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 98^{\circ} 28.656^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 419 \mathrm{~m}$, pan trap, $7-8 / \mathrm{v} / 2008$, Kwannui \& Sukpeng, T5304; 3 males: Chae Son NP, $18^{\circ} 49.894^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, ~ 99^{\circ} 28.354^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 467 \mathrm{~m}$, Malaise trap, $1-7 / v / 2008$, Kwannui \& Sukpeng, T5309; 1 male: Chanthaburi Pr., Khao Khitchakut NP, Khao Prabaht peak, $12^{\circ} 50.45^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 102^{\circ} 09.81^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 875 \mathrm{~m}$, Malaise trap, 20-27/ ii/2009, Suthida \& Charoenchai, T4045; 1 male: Khao Khitchakut NP, Khao Prabaht peak, $12^{\circ} 50.45^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 102^{\circ} 09.81^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 875 \mathrm{~m}$, Malaise trap, $6-13 / \mathrm{ii} / 2009$, Suthida


Figures 4-13. Lateral view of male Loboscelidia head, with basal antennal segments.
\& Charoenchai, T4039; 1 male: Trang Prov., Khaeochong Mt, 75 m, $7^{\circ} 33.038^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, 9947.369'E, Malaise, 28/iv-2/c/2005; 2 males: near Nam Tock Ton Prov., Khoa Chong Mt., $140 \mathrm{~m}, 7^{\circ} 32.015^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 99^{\circ} 47.036^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, iv/2005 and ii/2005; 1 male: Phetchabun Pr., Nam Nao NP, $16^{\circ} 43.695^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 101^{\circ} 33.797^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 921 \mathrm{~m}$, Malaise trap, 5-12/ v/2007, L. Janteab, T2657; 1 male: Kanchanaburi Pr., Khuean Srinagarindra NP,
$14^{\circ} 38.136^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 98^{\circ} 59.837^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 210 \mathrm{~m}$, pan trap, 21-22/viii/2008, Chatchawan, T3438; 1 male: Sakon Pr., Nakhon Phu Phan NP, $17^{\circ} 03.543^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 103^{\circ} 58.452^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 8$-14/vii/2006, MT, W. Kongnara, T197; 1 male: Suphan Buri Pr., Khao Yai NP, Kong Geo waterfalls, $900 \mathrm{~m}, 30 / \mathrm{vi} / 1990$, J. Heraty, H90/108. Paratypes are deposited in QSBG and BME.

Additional non-type specimens (27) were seen from: Borneo: north, Tawa, Quoin Hill (1 male, BPB); Sabah: Kinabalu Nat. Park, Poring Hot Springs (4 males, CNC); Sarawak: sw Gunung Buda, 64 km s Limbang (BME); W. Kalimantan: Gunung Palung Nat. Pk. (3 males, ROM, BME); E. Kalimantan: Kac. Pujungan, Kayan-Mantarang Nat. Res. (1 male, ROM); West Java: Gede-Pangrango Nat. Park, Situ Gunung (2 males, ROM, BME); Sumatra: Aceh, Gunung Leuser Nat. Pk. (1 male, ROM); Malaysia: Selangor (1 male, UCR);Pahang: Kuala Tahan, Taman Negara Nat. Park (1 male, UCR); Malaya: 10 mi e Gombak (1 male, UCR); Thailand: Mae Hong Son, Namtok Mae Surin Nat. Pk (1 male, QSBG); Nakon Si Thammarat:Namtok Yong Nat. Pk. (1 male, QSBG); Phang Na: Khuraburi Dist. south end of Koh Res. (1 male, UCR); Trang: Forest Res. Sta. Khao Chong (1 male, UCR); Singapore (7 males, BPBM, UCR).

Diagnosis. L. cinnamonea is most similar to nasiformis, as both share an arched medial vein, rectangular frontal projection, complete notauli, without a scrobal sulcus and the cu-a vein reduced to a tiny stub or absent. It can be distinguished from nasiformis by the more typical frontal projection, fore and midtibiae without discrete, measureable flanges, R1 obsolescent and Rs $3 \times$ or more as long as R.

Male description. Body length $2.0-3.0 \mathrm{~mm}$; forewing length $2.5-3.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. Head (Fig. 4): length twice breadth in side view; eye asetose; frontal projection rectangular in front view; frons smooth, not microstriate; frons with low ridge extending from vertex along inner eye margin; vertex without transverse fovea, cervical expansion strongly curved in profile; gena without scale-like setae; scape smooth, length 3.9 breadth; flagellomere I length $2 \times$ breadth; flagellomere II length $2.3 \times$ breadth; flagellomere XI length $5 \times$ breadth. Mesosoma: pronotal length $0.9 \times$ breadth, without lateral carina, pronotum narrower than head width; scutum with notauli reaching posterior margin; scutellum with sublateral carina, without fine dense striae laterally; metanotum without medial ridge, impunctate laterally, $0.4 \times$ as long as scutellum; mesopleuron without scrobal sulcus; propodeum without transverse dorsal carina; legs (Fig. 37) smooth, polished; forefemoral flange 0.4 x femur length, flange maximum width equal to width of tubular part of femur; foretibial flange absent; midfemoral flange $0.6 \times$ femur length, flange maximum width $0.6 \times$ width of tubular part of femur; midtibial flange absent; hindfemoral flange $0.9 \times$ femur length, flange maximum width $0.7 \times$ width of tubular part of femur; hindtibial flange as long as tibia, flange maximum width $0.8 \times$ width of tubular part of tibia; hindtibia with two longitudinal carinae on posterior margin; hindcoxa without longitudinal carina on inner medial surface; forewing (Fig. 25) R1 length 0-0.2× R length; cu-a length $0.1 \times \mathrm{R}$ length; Rs length twice R length; $\mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{M}$ length $0.4-0.6 \times \mathrm{A}$ length; medial vein curved submedially. Color: body reddish brown to dark orange; wing membrane brown-tinted, with untinted areas adjacent to vein remnants; veins brown.

Female. Unknown.

Etymology. The species name is Latin for brown as in the spice, cinnamon.

## Loboscelidia collaris Fouts

http://species-id.net/wiki/Loboscelidia_collaris
Loboscelidia collaris Fouts 1922: 627. Holotype male (not female); Singapore (USNM).

Material studied. Indonesia: W. Kalimantan: Gunung Palung Nat. Pk (14 males, ROM; E. Kalimantan: Kac. Pujungan, Kayan-Matanrang Nat. Res. (3 males, ROM, BME); 38 km n alikpapan, Sambojal2 (1 male, ROM); Sumatra: Aceh, Gunung Leuser Nat. Park, Ketambe Res. Sta. (7 males, ROM, BME); Malaysia: Sabah, Mt. Kinabalu N.P., Poring Hot Spgs (2 males, CNC); Sarawak: Gunung Mulu National Park ( 4 males, BME, ROM); Selangor: 16 mi e Gombak, Univ. Malaya Forest (1 male, UCR); Singapore: (1 male, USNM), Timah Nat. Res. (1 male, CNC);; Thailand: Chaiyaphum, Tat Tone NP (1 male, QSBG); Trang: Near Nam Tock Tjon Prov., Khoa Chong Mt. (3 males, CNC); Phattalung Nam Tok Phrai Wan (1 male, UCR); 40 specimens were examined including the holotype.

Diagnosis. This is another species with a complete scrobal sulcus and triangular frontal projection. Male collaris can be distinguished from species with these traits by the combination of the pronotum with a sharp crease or ridge dorsolaterally, scape less than $3 \times$ as long as broad, flagellomeres I and II more than twice as long as broad, flagellomere XI 3.5-4.0× as long as broad, and the fore, mid and hindfemoral flanges as long as the femora.

## Loboscelidia defecta Kieffer

http://species-id.net/wiki/Loboscelidia_defecta
Loboscelidia defecta Kieffer 1916b: 18. Syntype male, female; Philippines: Palawan (Insel Palavan), Puerto Princesa (MNHN, lost?).

Material studied. Viet Nam: Karyu Danar (1 male, BPBM), Thailand: Mae Hong Son Pr., Namtok Mae Surin NP (1 male, BME); Nakhon Si Pr., Thammarat Namtok Yong (1 male, QSBG); Surat Thani Pr., Khao Sok Np, Klong Morg unit (1 male, BME); Chiang Mai Pr., Doi Chiangdao NP (1 male, QSBG); Malaysia: Sarawak, Gunung Lulu National Park (1 male ROM); 6 specimens were seen that appear to fit the original description.

Diagnosis. The types of defecta are apparently lost. However, based on Kieffer's (1916a) illustration it is one of the species that lacks a cu-a vein. In the same paper Kieffer attributed defecta and inermis to a 1915 paper he gives in the 1916a paper as "Philippine J. Sci. v. 10 p?", but there was evidently no paper published by Kieffer in 1915 in volume 10 of this journal. Instead, defecta Kieffer and inermis Kieffer were
published as new species one month after the 1916a paper (1916b). The 1915 date may have been a mistake on his part caused by delays in publication of the description paper in the Philippine Journal of Science.

## Loboscelidia fulgens sp. $\mathbf{n}$.

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:229B3296-7FD3-49E4-8626-590CD8CDC23E
http://species-id.net/wiki/Loboscelidia_fulgens
Figs 5, 26, 38

Type material. Holotype male: Viet Nam: Tuyen Quang Prov., 360 m , Na Hang Reserve, 16-20 May 1997, FIT, S. B. Peck, 97-10 (CNC). Paratypes: 3 males same data as holotype; 1 male: 20-24 May 1997, 97-13; 1 male: $300 \mathrm{~m}, ~ 97-17$; 1 male: Ha Tinh, Huong Son, $450 \mathrm{~m}, 18^{\circ} 22^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 105^{\circ} 13^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 22$ April-1 May 1998, L. Herman, LT (BME, CNC).

Diagnosis. This is one of four species, including bakeri, guangxiensis and reducta that completely lack a medial vein. L. fulgens can be separated from guangxiensis in males by the shorter Rs vein, $1.5 \times$ as long as R , versus twice as long in guangxiensis, and having well-developed tibial flanges, which are lacking in reducta. L. fulgens can be immediately distinguished from bakeri by lacking the uniquely up-domed propodeum characteristic of bakeri.

Male description. Body length $1.5-2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$; forewing length $2.0-2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. Head (Fig. 5): length $1.8 \times$ height in side view; eye asetose; frontal projection rectangular in front view; frons smooth, not microstriate; frons with low ridge extending from vertex along inner eye margin; vertex with transverse fovea, posterior expansion strongly curved in profile; gena without scale-like setae; scape striate, length $2.9 \times$ breadth; flagellomere I length $2 \times$ breadth; flagellomere II length $1.8 \times$ breadth; flagellomere XI length $3 \times$ breadth. Mesosoma: pronotal length $0.8 \times$ breadth, without lateral carina, narrower than head in dorsal view; scutum with notauli reaching posterior margin; scutellum with fine dense striae laterally; metanotum with medial ridge, impunctate laterally, $0.4 \times$ as long as scutellum; mesopleuron without scrobal sulcus; propodeum without transverse dorsal carina; legs (Fig. 38) smooth, polished; forefemoral flange $0.5 \times$ femur length, flange maximum width $0.6 \times$ width of tubular part of femur; foretibial flange $0.5 \times$ tibial length, flange maximum width 0.4 x width of tubular part of tibia; midfemoral flange absent; midtibial flange $0.6 \times$ femur length, flange maximum width $0.5 \times$ width of tubular part of tibia; hindfemoral flange $0.8 \times$ femur length, flange maximum width $0.6 \times$ width of tubular part of femur; hindtibial flange $0.8 \times$ femur length, flange maximum width $0.7 \times$ width of tubular part of tibia; hindtibia with two longitudinal carinae on posterior margin; hindcoxa without longitudinal ca-
rina on inner medial surface; forewing (Fig. 26) R1 length $0.4 \times \mathrm{R}$ length; cu-a absent; Rs length $1.4 \times \mathrm{R}$ length; $\mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{M}$ length $0.6 \times \mathrm{A}$ length; medial vein present, flat medially. Color: body brown to reddish brown; wing membrane brown-tinted, untinted along vein remnants; veins brown.

Etymology. The species name, fulgens, refers to the shining integument (Latin, adj).

## Loboscelidia fulva sp. n.

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:4719E8B5-6A56-4325-AEE0-4DD9DB50BC1D
http://species-id.net/wiki/Loboscelidia_fulva
Figs 6, 27, 39

Type material. Holotype male: Thailand: Nan Prov., Doi Phu Kha NP, $19^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 418$ "N, $101^{\circ} 4^{\prime} 809$ "E, 1326 m, MT, 15-22 Sept. 2007, Charoen \& Nikom, T3217 (QSBG).

Diagnosis. L. fulva is one of five species with a straight medial vein, including meifungae, maculata, ora and defecta. It can be distinguished from ora and maculata by having notauli, from defecta by having the cu-a vein one-half or more as long as R and $\mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{M}$ as long as A , and from meifungae by the rectangular frontal projection, Rs about $3 \times$ as long as R and the scutellum coarsely areolate (smooth to longitudinally striate in meifungae).

Male description. Body length 2.5 mm ; forewing length 3.0 mm . Head (Fig. $6)$ : length $1.6 \times$ height in side view; eye asetose; frontal projection rectangular in front view; frons with lateral ridge adjacent to eye margin; vertex without transverse fovea, posterior expansion strongly curved in profile; frons without carina or ridge extending from vertex along inner eye margin; gena without scale-like setae; scape smooth, length $2.7 \times$ breadth; flagellomere I length $1.6 \times$ breadth; flagellomere II length $1.7 \times$ breadth; flagellomere XI length $3.5-4.0 \times$ breadth. Mesosoma: pronotal length $0.8 \times$ breadth, with lateral carina, as wide as head in dorsal view; scutum with notauli reaching posterior margin; scutellum posteriorly coarsely rugose; metanotum with three medial ridges, impunctate laterally, $0.4 \times$ as long as scutellum; mesopleuron with scrobal sulcus; propodeum without transverse dorsal carina; legs (Fig. 39) smooth, polished; forefemoral flange $0.5 \times$ femur length, flange maximum width $0.5 \times$ width of tubular part of femur; foretibial flange $0.6 \times$ tibial length, flange maximum width $0.8 \times$ width of tubular part of tibia; midfemoral flange $0.6 \times$ femur length, flange maximum width $0.6 \times$ of tubular part of femur; midtibial flange $0.8 \times$ femur length, flange maximum width 0.7 of tubular part of tibia; hindfemoral flange $0.8 \times$ femur length, flange maximum width $0.6 \times$ of tubular part of femur; hindtibial flange as long as tibia, flange maximum width $1.2 \times$ of
tubular part of tibia; hindtibia with two longitudinal carinae on posterior margin; hindcoxa with longitudinal carina on inner medial surface; forewing (Fig. 27) R1 length $0.6 \times \mathrm{R}$ length; cu-a length $0.6 \times \mathrm{R}$ length; Rs length $3.1 \times \mathrm{R}$ length; $\mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{M}$ as long as A ; medial vein flat. Color: body dark reddish brown; wing membrane brown-tinted, untinted along vein remnants.

Female. Unknown.
Etymology. The species name, fulva, refers to the brown body color (Latin, f.).

## Loboscelidia guangxiensis Xu

http://species-id.net/wiki/Loboscelidia_guangxiensis
Loboscelidia guangxiensis Xu et al. 2006: 208. Holotype male; China: Guangxi Prov., Jiuwandashan (ZFCL).

Material studied. None; published distribution: China: Guangxi, Guangdong.
Diagnosis. This is one of five species, including incompleta, bakeri, reducta and fulgens, which have the medial vein partial or absent and cu-a less than $0.2 \times \mathrm{R}$ or absent. It can be distinguished from these species by Rs more than twice as long as R and R1 more than $0.5 \times$ as long as R, flagellomeres I and II twice as long as broad, flagellomere XI less than $3 \times$ as long as broad and the hindtibial flange less than half as wide as the tubular part of the tibia.

## Loboscelidia halimunensis Kojima

http://species-id.net/wiki/Loboscelidia_halimunensis
Loboscelidia halimunensis Kojima (in Kojima and Ubaidillah), 2003: 203. Holotype male; Indonesia: West Java, Gunung Halimun National Park, Cikaniki (MZB, lost?).

Material studied. None
Diagnosis. This is another of the species with a flat medial vein. Loboscelidia halimunensis and defecta both lack a cu-a vein. The two species can be separated by the longer Rs vein in halimunensis (more than $2 \times$ as long as $R$, versus less than $2 \times$ in de$f e c t a)$ and pronotum as long as broad or broader (longer than broad in defecta). Despite contacting the authors the type could not be located.

## Loboscelidia incompleta sp. n.

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:0C00BA0E-657A-4E11-B707-BD33618B892B
http://species-id.net/wiki/Loboscelidia_incompleta
Figures 8, 28

Type material. Holotype male: India: Tamil Nadu, Nilgiri Hills, v/1961, P. S. Nathan (CNC).

Diagnosis. The most distinctive and unique feature of this species is the medially incomplete medial vein. Among the species that lack a medial vein entirely, including bakeri, fulgens, reducta and guangxiensis, incompleta can be distinguished by the Rs vein twice as long as $\mathrm{R}(1.5 \times$ or less in the other species). It does share the fore and midtibial flanges lacking as in reducta.

Male description. Body length 2.5 mm ; forewing length 3 mm . Head (Fig. 8): length $2 \times$ height in side view; eye asetose; frontal projection rectangular in front view; frons with lateral ridge adjacent to eye margin; vertex without transverse fovea, posterior expansion strongly curved in profile; frons without carina or ridge extending from vertex along inner eye margin; gena without scale-like setae; scape longitudinally striate, length $4 \times$ breadth; flagellomere I length $2.4 \times$ breadth; flagellomere II length $2.2 \times$ breadth; flagellomere XI length $3.2 \times$ breadth. Mesosoma: pronotal length $1.1 \times$ breadth, with lateral carina, nearly as broad as head; scutum with notauli reaching posterior margin; scutellum and metanotum smooth, polished, impunctate; metanotum one-third as long as scutellum propodeum without transverse dorsal carina; mesopleuron without scrobal sulcus; legs polished; forefemoral flange $0.2 \times$ femur length, flange maximum width $0.9 \times$ width of tubular part of femur; foretibial flange $0.6 \times$ femur length, flange maximum width $0.3 \times$ width of tubular part of tibia; midfemur without flange; midtibial flange $0.7 \times$ tibia length, flange maximum width $0.3 \times$ width of tubular part of tibia; hindfemoral flange $0.3 \times$ femur length, flange maximum width $0.7 \times$ width of tubular part of femur; hindtibial flange $0.7 \times$ as long as tibia, flange maximum width $0.5 \times$ width of tubular part of tibia; hindtibia with two longitudinal carinae on posterior margin; hindcoxa with/without longitudinal carina on inner medial surface; forewing (Fig. 28) R1 length $0.3 \times$ R length; cu-a length absent; Rs length $2.2 \times \mathrm{R}$ length; $\mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{M}$ $0.5 \times$ as long as A; medial vein submedially curved, incomplete medially. Color: reddish brown; wing membrane brown-tinted, paler along vein remnants, veins brown.

Etymology. The name refers to the medially interrupted medial vein of the forewing (Latin)

## Loboscelidia indica Kimsey

http://species-id.net/wiki/Loboscelidia_indica
Loboscelidia indica Kimsey 1988: 69. Holotype male; India: Nilgiri Hills (CNC).

Material studied. India: Nilgiri; only the holotype was seen.
Diagnosis. L. indica is one of two species described from India, including incompleta. It is also one of the dozen or so species with a scrobal sulcus and rectangular frontal projection. It can be distinguished from them by the combination of the Rs less than $3 \times$ as long as $R$, $A$ as long or longer than $C u+M$, scape less than $3 \times$ as long as broad, flagellomeres I and II twice or more as long as broad, and fore, mid and hindtibial flanges $1.5 \times$ or more as wide as the tibiae.

## Loboscelidia inermis Kieffer

http://species-id.net/wiki/Loboscelidia_inermis
Loboscelidia inermis Kieffer 1916:15. Syntype females (males?); Philippines: Mindanao, Butuan (MNHN, lost?).

Material studied. No reliably identified specimens have been seen. However, according to Kieffer's (1916) illustration inermis has a well-developed cu-a vein, unlike defecta, which lacks cu-a, or cu-a is represented by a very short stub.

## Loboscelidia kafae sp. n .

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:09492B77-D0B2-401F-94AA-863039EF6EA8
http://species-id.net/wiki/Loboscelidia_kafae
Figures 9, 29, 40

Type material. Holotype male: Thailand: Chiang Mai Pr., Doi Phahompok NP, Mae Fang Hot spring, $569 \mathrm{~m}, 19^{\circ} 57.961^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 99^{\circ} 09.355^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, Malaise trap, $7-14 / \mathrm{iv} / 2008$, K. Seesom, T6085 (QSBG).

Paratypes ( 52 males): 2 males: same data as holotype; 1 male: 14-21/ix.2007, P. Wongchai, T6168; 2 males:, 7-14/viii/2007, P. Wongchai, T6144, 6111; 1 male: Doi Phaluang, $1449 \mathrm{~m}, 20^{\circ} 1^{\prime} 06 \mathrm{~N}, 99^{\circ} 09.581^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 21-28 / \mathrm{ix} / 2007$, P. Wongchai, T6165; 1 male: $28 / \mathrm{iv}-7 / \mathrm{v} / 2008$, K. Seesom, T6084; 1 male: Doi Chiangdao NP, $19^{\circ} 24.278^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $98^{\circ} 55.311^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 491 \mathrm{~m}, 18-25 / \mathrm{ix} / 2007$, Jugsu \& Watwanich, T5696; 1 male: Doi Chiangdao NP, $19^{\circ} 24.419^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 98^{\circ} 55.237^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 549 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{MT}, 21-28 / \mathrm{viii} / 2007$, Jugsu \& Watwanich, T5676; 1 male: Doi Chiangdao NP, Pha Tang, $19^{\circ} 24.978^{\prime}$ N, $98^{\circ} 54.886^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 526$ m, Malaise trap, 4-11/ix/2007, Jugsu \& Watwanich, T5682; 1 male: Doi Chiangdao $\mathrm{NP}, 549 \mathrm{~m}, 19^{\circ} 42.419^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 98^{\circ} 55.237^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, Malaise trap, $10-17 / \mathrm{xii} / 2007$, Jugsu \& Watwanich, T5723; 1 male: Haui Na Lao, $500 \mathrm{~m}, 19^{\circ} 24.731^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 98^{\circ} 55.315^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, Malaise trap, $15-21 / \mathrm{v} / 2008$, Jugsu \& Watwanich, T5817; 1 male: Huai Nam Dang NP, $19^{\circ} 18.803^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $98^{\circ} 36.396^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, Malaise trap, 21-28/ix/2007, Anuchart \& Thawatchai, T5507; 1 male: Thung Buatong viewpoint, $19^{\circ} 17.6^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 93^{\circ} 36.0^{\prime}$ E Malaise trap, Anuchart \& Thawatchai, 14-21/viii/2007, T5472; 1 male: Chiang Pr., Huai Nam Dang NP, Thung Buatong, $19^{\circ} 17.056^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 98^{\circ} 36.029^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, Malaise trap, 21-28/viii/2007, Anuchart \& Thawatchai, T5471; 1 male: Doi Chiangdao NP, $19^{\circ} 24.419^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 98^{\circ} 55.237^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 549 \mathrm{~m}$, malaise trap, 14-21/viii/2007, Jugsu \& Watwanich, T5673; 1 male: Kamphaeng Pr., Phet Mae Wong $\mathrm{NP}, 306 \mathrm{~m}, 16^{\circ} 02.233^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 99^{\circ} 13.096^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, pan trap, $9-10 / \mathrm{viii} / 2007$, Srilopien $\&$ Phumirate, T3769; 1 male: Lampang Pr., Chae Son NP, $18^{\circ} 49.894^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, ~ 99^{\circ} 28.354^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 467 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{Ma}-$ laise trap, $1-7 / \mathrm{v} / 2008$, Kwannui \& Sukpeng, T5309; 1 male: 21-30/v/2008, T5305; 1 male: Chae Son NP, Doi Laan, $18^{\circ} 51.815^{\prime}$ N, $99^{\circ} 22.122^{\prime}$ E, 1413 m, Malaise trap, 9-15/ v/2008, Kwannui \& Sukpeng, T5292; 1 male: Kanchanaburi Pr., Khuean Srinagarinda NP, $14^{\circ} 38.123^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 98^{\circ} 59.657^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, Malaise trap, Somboon \& Daorueng, T3462; 1 male: 7-14/v/2009, T4747; 1 male: $201 \mathrm{~m}, 23-30 / \mathrm{iv} / 2009$, T4744; 1 male: 13-20/xi/2008,

Somboon \& Daorueng, T4423; 1 male: 6-13/xi/2008, Somboon \& Daorueng, T4420; 1 male; $14^{\circ} 38.312^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 98^{\circ} 59.643^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 210 \mathrm{~m}$, Malaise trap, Somboon \& Daorueng, T3465; 1 male: Huay Mae Kamint, $14^{\circ} 38.441^{\prime}$ N, $98^{\circ} 58.889^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 240 \mathrm{~m}$, Malaise trap, $7-14 / \mathrm{v} / 2009$, Somboon \& Daorueng, T4740; 1 male: Nakhon Si Thammarat Pr., Namtok Yong $\mathrm{NP}, 8^{\circ} 10.434^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 99^{\circ} 44.508^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, Malaise trap, $8-15 / \mathrm{vii} / 2008,80 \mathrm{~m}$, U. prai, KT3083; . 1 male: $8^{\circ} 14.262^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 99^{\circ} 48.289^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, Malaise trap, 21-28/vii/2008, 966 m , Palboon, T3108; 1 male: $8^{\circ} 16.959^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 99^{\circ} 39.149^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, Malaise trap, 22-29/vii/2008; 1 male: road to Khao Mhen, 150 m from Nern $466,8^{\circ} 16.959^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 99^{\circ} 39.149^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 499 \mathrm{~m}$, Malaise trap, 8-15/ vi/2008, S. Samnaokan, T3095; 1 male: Chaiyaphum Pr., Tat Tone NP, $16^{\circ} 0.792^{\prime}$ N, 10158.472'E, Malaise trap, 19-26/v/2007, Jaruphan \& Budsawong, 648 m, 2575; 2 males: Petchaburi Pr., Kaeng Krachan NP, $12^{\circ} 47.831^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, ~ 99^{\circ} 27.369^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, Malaise trap, 970 m, $8-15 /$ viii/2008, Sirichai \& Chusak, T4346; 1 male: $12^{\circ} 47.963^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 99^{\circ} 27.188^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, Malaise trap, $5-12 / \mathrm{ix} / 2008$, Sirichai \& Prasit, T4375; 1 male: $12^{\circ} 50.177^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 99^{\circ} 28.098^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, Malaise trap, $735 \mathrm{~m}, 18-25 / \mathrm{i} / 2009$, Sirichai, T4406; 1 male: $12^{\circ} 48.107^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 99^{\circ} 26.669^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, Malaise trap, 3-10/iv/2009, Sirichai, T4687; 1 male: $12^{\circ} 49.302^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 99^{\circ} 22.263^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, Malaise trap, 254/iii-3/iv/2009, Sirichai, T4739; 1 male: $12^{\circ} 50.177^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 99^{\circ} 20.688^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, Malaise trap, $735 \mathrm{~m}, 25 / \mathrm{v}-1 / \mathrm{vi} / 2009$, Sirichai, T5259; 2 males: Pa La-U waterfall, $12^{\circ} 32.154^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $99^{\circ} 28.098^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, Malaise trap, 26/ix-3/x/2008, Akaradate \& Thongbai, T4518; 1 male: $12^{\circ} 32.154^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 99^{\circ} 28.098^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, Malaise trap, 4-11/xii/2008, Thongbai, T4553; 1 male: Pa La-U/Huai Palao Forest Unit 3, $12^{\circ} 32.149^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 99^{\circ} 28.265^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, Malaise trap, 18-25/i/2009, Thongbai, T4566; 1 male: $12^{\circ} 32.149^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 99^{\circ} 28.265^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, Malaise trap, $4-11 / \mathrm{i} / 2009$, Thongbai, T4562; 2 males: Phetchabun Pr., Nam Nao NP, $16^{\circ} 43.695^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 101^{\circ} 33.797^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 921$ m, Malaise trap, 5-12/v/2007, L. Janteab, T2657; 2 males: $1^{\circ} 43.687^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 101^{\circ} 33.797^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, 754 m, Malaise trap, 19-26/v/2007, N. Hongyothi, T2662; 1 male: Mae Hong Son Pr., Namtok Mae Surin NP, $228 \mathrm{~m}, 19^{\circ} 21.593^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 97^{\circ} 59.254^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, Malaise trap, 11-18/ xi/2007, M. Namadkum, T5930; 1 male: $19^{\circ} 20.616^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 97^{\circ} 59.003^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, Malaise trap, 11-18/xi/2007, 334 m, A. Kamkhun, T5934; 1 male: Sakon Nakhon Pr., Phu Phan NP, $17^{\circ} 03.488^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 103^{\circ} 58.497^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, Malaise trap, 8-14/vii/2006, S. Tongboonchai, T199; 1 male: Prachuab Khiri Khan Pr., Khao Sam Roi Yot NP, $12^{\circ} 13.417^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 99^{\circ} 56.153^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, Malaise trap, 31/viii-7/ix/2008, Sorat, Yai \& Amnad, T4078; 1 male: Bar Hua Tan Thaeo, $12^{\circ} 13.059^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 99^{\circ} 58.384^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, Malaise trap, 2-9/xi/2008, Yai \& Amnad, T4128; 1 male: Phitsanulok Pr., Thung Salaeng Luang NP, $16^{\circ} 52.046$ 'N, $100^{\circ} 49.067^{\prime}$ E, Malaise trap, $501 \mathrm{~m}, 16-23 / \mathrm{iv} / 2007$, Pongpitak, T5207 (BME, QSBG).

Additional non-type specimens were seen from Laos (Phongsaly Prov., Ban Sano Mai) (22 males, CNC, BME); Vientiane Prov., Ban Van Eue (1 male, BPBM); Malaysia: Malaya, 13 mi e Gombak (1 male, UCR); Sarawak: Gunung Mulu NP (1 male, ROM) and Borneo: West Kalimantan Gunung Palung Nat Pk. (14 males, BME, ROM) E. Kalimantan: Kac. Plujungan, Kayan Metarang Nat. Res. (1 male, ROM); Viet Nam: Tuyen Quang Prov., Na Hang Res. (2 males, CNC); Thailand: Phitsanulok Pr., Thyng Salaeng Luang (1 males, BME, QSBG); Kanchanaburi: Khuean Srinagarinda NP (1 male, QSBG); Suphanburi Pro., Pu Toei NP (1 male, QSBG).

Diagnosis. L. kafae is one of the many species that have a submedially curved medial vein. Males have a short flagellomere I (less than twice as long as broad), which is
also found in pasohana and laminata. It can be distinguished from these two species by flagellomere XI $4 \times$ as long as broad (shorter in the other species), the fore and midtibial flanges as broad as the tibiae and the hindtibial flange twice as broad (narrower in various combinations in the other species).

Male description. Body length $2.0-2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$; forewing length $2.5-3.0 \mathrm{~mm}$. Head (Fig. 9): length $1.9 \times$ height in side view; eye asetose; frontal projection rectangular in front view; frons smooth; vertex without transverse fovea, posterior expansion convex in profile; frons with low ridge extending from vertex along inner eye margin; gena without scale-like setae; scape smooth, length $3 \times$ breadth; flagellomere I length $1.6 \times$ breadth; flagellomere II length $2 \times$ breadth; flagellomere XI length $4.5 \times$ breadth. Mesosoma: pronotal length $0.8 \times$ breadth, with sharp lateral fold; scutum with notauli reaching posterior margin; scutellum with fine dense striae sublaterally; metanotum with medial ridge, densely, finely striate on either side, one-third as long as scutellum; mesopleuron with scrobal sulcus; propodeum without transverse dorsal carina; legs (Fig. 40) smooth, polished; forefemoral flange $0.7 \times$ femur length, flange maximum width $0.9 \times$ width of tubular part of femur; foretibial flange $0.7 \times$ femur length, flange maximum width $1.2 \times$ width of tubular part of tibia; midfemoral flange $0.8 \times$ femur length, flange as wide as tubular part of femur; midtibial flange $0.7 \times$ tibial length, flange as wide as tubular part of tibia; hindfemoral flange $0.9 \times$ femur length, flange maximum width as wide as tubular part of femur; hindtibial flange as long as femur, flange maximum width $2 \times$ width of tubular part of tibia; hindtibia with two longitudinal carinae on posterior margin; hindcoxa without longitudinal carina on inner medial surface; forewing (Fig. 29) R1 length $0.5 \times$ R length; cu-a $0.5 \times$ R length; Rs length $2.6 \times \mathrm{R}$ length; $\mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{M}$ length $0.5 \times \mathrm{A}$ length; medial vein submedially curved. Color: body brown; wing membrane lightly brown-tinted along veins and vein remnants, veins brown.

Female. Unknown.
Etymology. The species name refers to the coffee brown coloration (Thai for coffee, noun).

## Loboscelidia laminata sp. n.

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:30E0EEB4-A91F-49CE-9CEA-E86A911F785A
http://species-id.net/wiki/Loboscelidia_laminata
Figures 10, 30, 41

Type material. Holotype male: Viet Nam: Tuyen Quang Prov., 360 m , Na Hang Reserve, 16-20 May 1997, FIT, S. B. Peck, 97-10 (CNC). Paratypes (17 males): 6 males, same data as holotype; 6 males, 20-24 May 1997, rainforest, FIT 97-13; 5 males, 9712 (BME, CNC).

Diagnosis. L. laminata most closely resembles kafae as discussed under that species. However, laminata can be distinguished by flagellomere II less than twice as long as broad, flagellomere XI less than $3.5 \times$ as long as broad, and the fore and midtibial flanges narrower than the respective tibiae.

Male description. Body length $2.0-2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$; forewing length $2.5-3.0 \mathrm{~mm}$. Head (Fig. 10): length $1.8 \times$ height in side view; eye asetose; frontal projection rectangular in front view; frons with lateral ridge adjacent to eye margin; vertex without transverse fovea, posterior expansion shallowly curved in profile; frons with low ridge extending from vertex along inner eye margin; gena without scale-like setae; scape smooth, length $2.6 \times$ breadth; flagellomere I length $1.7 \times$ breadth; flagellomere II length $1.8 \times$ breadth; flagellomere XI length $4 \times$ breadth. Mesosoma: pronotal length $0.8 \times$ breadth, with/out lateral carina, nearly as wide as head in dorsal view; scutum with notauli reaching posterior margin; scutellum with fine dense striae; metanotum with three medial ridges, impunctate laterally; mesopleuron with scrobal sulcus; propodeum without transverse dorsal carina; legs (Fig. 41) coarsely/smooth, polished; forefemoral flange $0.6 \times$ femur length, flange maximum width $0.8 \times$ width of tubular part of femur; foretibial flange $0.6 \times$ femur length, flange maximum width $0.7 \times$ width of tubular part of tibia; midfemoral flange $0.6 \times$ femur length, flange maximum width $0.8 \times$ width of tubular part of femur; midtibial flange $0.8 \times$ femur length, flange maximum width $0.4 \times$ width of tubular part of tibia; hindfemoral flange $0.8 \times$ femur length, flange maximum width $0.9 \times$ width of tubular part of femur; hindtibial flange $0.9 \times$ as long as tibia, flange maximum width $1.1 \times$ width of tubular part of tibia; hindtibia with two longitudinal carinae on posterior margin; hindcoxa with longitudinal carina on inner medial surface; forewing (Fig. 30) R1 length $0.8 \times \mathrm{R}$ length; cu-a length $0.5 \times \mathrm{R}$ length; Rs length $3.2 \times \mathrm{R}$ length; $\mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{M} 0.5 \times$ as long as A ; medial vein submedially curved. Color: dark brown to yellowish brown; wing membrane brown-tinted, untinted along vein remnants; veins brown.

Etymology. The name refers to the large lamellae or flanges on the legs (Latin).

## Loboscelidia laotiana Kimsey

http://species-id.net/wiki/Loboscelidia_laotiana
Figure 19
Loboscelidia laotiana Kimsey 1988: 71. Holotype male; Laos: Vientiane Prov., Ban Van Eue (BPBM).

Material studied. Laos: Vientiane Prov, Ban Van Eue (2 males, BPBM, BME); Viet Nam: Fyan (1 male, BME); Malaysia: Sabah: Kinabalu Nat. Pk. (3 males, USNM); Indonesia: Sumatra, Aceh: Mt. Leuser Nat. Pk., Ketambe Res. Sta (1 male, ROM); 7 specimens were seen including the holotype.

Diagnosis. L. laotiana is one of the species with a scrobal sulcus and a triangular frontal projection (Fig. 19). It can be distinguished from the others by the combination of the Rs $3 \times$ or more as long as $R$, scape striate and more than $3 \times$ as long as broad, flagellomeres I and II less than twice as long as broad, flagellomere XI less than $3 \times$ as long as broad, fore and midfemoral flanges as wide as the tubular part of the respective femora and the hindtibial flange twice as wide as the tubular part of the tibia.

## Loboscelidia levigata Yao, Liu \& Xu

http://species-id.net/wiki/Loboscelidia_levigata
Loboscelidia levigata Yao et al. 2010: 528. Holotype male; China: Guangdong Prov., Chebaling National Nature Reserve (SCAC).

Material studied. None.
Diagnosis. L. levigata is one of three species described from southeastern China, including sinensis and striolata. It can be distinguished from these by the rectangular frontal projection, and in males R1 as long as R (shorter in sinensis and striolata) and Rs $3 \times$ as long as R , as opposed to $2.5 \times$ or shorter in sinensis and striolata. It can be distinguished from other Loboscelidia species by R1 reaching R at a right angle.

## Loboscelidia maai (Lin)

http://species-id.net/wiki/Loboscelidia_maai
Scelidoloba maai Lin 1964: 238. Holotype female (not male); Taiwan: Paomingszu, 2 km s Keelung City (NMNS).
Loboscelidia artigena Lin 1964: 243. Holotype male; Taiwan: Paomingzu, 2 km s Keelung City (NMNS). Possible synonymy with maai suggested by Day (1979). New synonymy herein.
Loboscelidia latigena Lin 1964: 241. Holotype male; Taiwan: Tsaoshan, 20 km nw Taipei city (NMNS). Synonymized by Kimsey and Bohart 1991.

Material studied. None.
Diagnosis. This is one of four species, including brunnea, maculipennis and nitidula, with the cervical expansion of the vertex flat in profile. L. madi males can be distinguished from these species by having the scape less than $2.5 \times$ as long as broad, the presence of a scrobal sulcus, and the tibial flanges wider than the tubular part of the respective tibiae.

## Loboscelidia maculata Kimsey

http://species-id.net/wiki/Loboscelidia_maculata
Loboscelidia maculata Kimsey 1988: 72. Holotype male; Australia: Queensland, 7 km sw Bellenden (ANIC).

Material studied. Australia: Queensland: 7 km sw Bellenden (1 male, ANIC); Mossman Gorge ( 2 males, CNC); 3 specimens were seen including the holotype.

Diagnosis. This is one of the five species with a medially flat medial vein as discussed under defecta. Of these, only defecta and ora have been described from Australia.
L. maculata can be distinguished from defecta by the lack of notauli (shared with ora), and the fore and hindtibial flanges twice as wide as the tubular part of the respective tibiae (narrower in defecta and ora).

## Loboscelidia maculipennis Fouts

http://species-id.net/wiki/Loboscelidia_maculipennis
Figures 11, 17, 20, 41
Loboscelidia maculipennis Fouts 1922: 625. Holotype male (not female); Borneo: Sandakan (USNM).
Loboscelidia carinata Fouts 1922: 626. Holotype male (not female); Singapore (USNM). Synonymized by Day (1979).
Material studied. Singapore: coll. Baker (1 make, BME), Sungei Bulch (1 male, BME); Indonesia: W. Kalimantan: Gunung Palung Nat. Pk. (6 males, ROM, BME); E. Kalimantan: Kac. Pujungan, Kayen-Mentarang Nat. Res (1 male, ROM), Sumatra: Aceh, Mt. Leuser ( 1 male, ROM); 12 males were seen including the holotypes of maculipennis and carinata.

Diagnosis. This is one of four species with a strongly flattened cervical expansion (Fig. 11) as discussed under brunnea. L. maculipennis males can be distinguished from the other three by cu-a as long as R, Rs vein $4 \times$ or longer than $R$, leg integument smooth (Fig. 41), and hindtibial posterior margin with 2 parallel carinae.

## Loboscelidia meifungae sp. n .

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:D05A300F-E49B-476E-98D4-970C53404F6B
http://species-id.net/wiki/Loboscelidia_meifungae
Figures 12, 30, 42

Type material. Holotype male: Borneo: Sarawak, sw Gunung Buda, 64 km s Linbang, $4^{\circ} 13^{\prime}$ N, $114^{\circ} 56^{\prime}$ E, $8-15$ Nov. 1996, MT, Heydon \& Fung (BME). Paratypes (44): 10 males, same data as holotype; 10 males: 16-28 Nov. 1996; 11 males: 2228 Nov. 1996, MT, Heydon \& Fung; 1 male: November 1996, Heydon \& Fung; 1 male: 18 Nov. 1996, Heydon \& Fung; 1 male: 23 Nov. 1996; Heydon \& Fung; 1 male: Buda Camp, sw Gunung Buda, 64 km s Linbang, $4^{\circ} 11^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 114^{\circ} 56^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 4$ Nov. 1996, MT, Heydon \& Fung; 4 males: Malaysia: Sabah, Kinabalu NP, 800m, Poring Hot Springs Langanan Creek, 22/viii/1988, A. Smetana, B-138; 1 male: Poring Hot Springs, $520 \mathrm{~m}, 9 / \mathrm{v} / 1987$, A. Smetana; 1 male: 480-510 m, 30.viii/1988, A. Smetana, B163; 1 male: 510 m, 13/v/1987; 1 male: Kipungit Creek, 550 m, 26/viii/1988, A. Smetana; 1 male: Liwagu River Trail, 1550 m, 12/viii/1988, A. Smetana, B107 (BME, CNC).

Diagnosis. This species belongs in the group of species having a flat medial vein and notauli, including defecta and fulva. It can be distinguished from other members
of the group by the triangular frontal projection, presence of a scrobal sulcus, cu-a present (shared with fulva) and midtibial flange absent.

Male description. Body length $2.0-4.0 \mathrm{~mm}$; forewing length $2.5-4.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. Head (Fig. 12): length 1.8-2.0× height in side view; eye asetose; frontal projection triangular in front view; frons smooth to microstriate; vertex without transverse fovea, posterior expansion strongly curved in profile; frons without discrete carina or ridge extending from vertex along inner eye margin; gena without scale-like setae; scape with some striae, length $2.1-2.5 \times$ breadth; flagellomeres I and II length twice breadth; flagellomere XI length $4 \times$ breadth. Mesosoma: pronotal length $0.7-0.8 \times$ breadth, with lateral carina; scutum with notauli reaching posterior margin; scutellum with sublateral carina, with fine dense striae laterally; scrobal sulcus represented by series of pits; metanotum with medial ridge, impunctate laterally; propodeum without transverse dorsal carina; legs (Fig. 42) smooth, polished; forefemoral flange $0.5-0.7 \times$ femur length, flange maximum width $0.8-1.0 \times$ width of tubular part of femur; foretibial flange $0.6-0.9 \times$ femur length, flange maximum width $1.0-1.5 \mathrm{x}$ width of tubular part of tibia; midfemoral flange $0.7-0.9 \times$ femur length, flange maximum width as wide as tubular part of femur; midtibial flange $0.7 \times$ femur length, flange maximum width $1.2 \times$ width of tubular part of tibia; hindfemoral flange $0.9 \times$ femur length, flange maximum width as wide as tubular part of femur; hindtibial flange $0.9 \times$ femur length, flange maximum width $1.7 \times$ width of tubular part of tibia; hindtibia with two longitudinal carinae on posterior margin; hindcoxa without longitudinal carina on inner medial surface; forewing (Fig. 30) R1 length $0.5-0.7 \times \mathrm{R}$ length; cu-a length $0.4-0.5 \times \mathrm{R}$ length; Rs length $2.5-3.0 \times \mathrm{R}$ length; $\mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{M}$ length $0.7-0.9 \times$ A length; medial vein submedially curved. Color: body brown to reddish brown; wing membrane brown-tinted, paler along vein remnants.

Female. Unknown.
Etymology. This species is named after Mei Lin "Stella" Fung one of the collectors.

## Loboscelidia nasiformis sp. n .

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:BD5AC828-B80E-45BB-8835-1D6EAFCFDAA4
http://species-id.net/wiki/Loboscelidia_nasiformis
Figures 13, 31, 43

Type material. Holotype male: Thailand: Petchaburi Prov., Kaeng Krachan NP, Pa La-U/Huai Palao Forest Unit 3, $12^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 149^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 99^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 265^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, Malaise trap, 4-11/ i2009, Thongbai, T4562 (QSBG).

Diagnosis. The most distinctive and unusual feature of this species is the greatly elongate and nose-like frontal projection, which makes the head nearly $3 \times$ as long as broad in lateral view. Otherwise, nasiformis is closest to cinnamonea, with an arched medial vein, rectangular frontal projection (albeit greatly elongate in nasiformis), complete notauli, cu-a reduced to a tiny stub or absent, and no scrobal sulcus. Other than the elongate frontal projection, nasiformis can be separated from cinnamonea by the presence of fore and midtibial flanges (absent in cinnamonea).

Male description. Body length 2 mm ; forewing length 2.5 mm . Head (Fig. 13): length $2.9 \times$ height in side view; eye asetose; frontal projection nasiform; frons smooth; vertex without transverse fovea, posterior expansion strongly curved in profile; frons without carina or ridge extending from vertex along inner eye margin; gena without scale-like setae; scape smooth, without striae, length $3.7 \times$ breadth; flagellomeres I and II length $2.2 \times$ breadth; flagellomere XI length $3.6 \times$ breadth. Mesosoma: pronotal length $0.9 \times$ breadth, without lateral carina; scutum with notauli reaching posterior margin; scutellum without sublateral carina, smooth laterally; metanotum without medial ridge, impunctate laterally; propodeum without transverse dorsal carina; legs (Fig. 43) smooth, polished; forefemoral flange $0.5 \times$ femur length, flange as wide as tubular part of femur; foretibial flange $0.6 \times$ femur length, flange maximum width $0.4 \times$ width of tubular part of tibia; midfemoral flange $0.5 \times$ femur length, flange maximum width $0.4 \times$ width of tubular part of femur; midtibial flange $0.6 \times$ femur length, flange maximum width $0.6 \times$ width of tubular part of tibia; hindfemoral flange $0.8 \times$ femur length, flange maximum width $0.5 \times$ width of tubular part of femur; hindtibial flange $0.8 \times$ femur length, flange maximum width $0.6 \times$ width of tubular part of tibia; hindtibia with two longitudinal carinae on posterior margin; hindcoxa without longitudinal carina on inner medial surface; forewing (Fig. 31) R1 length $0.3 \times \mathrm{R}$ length; cu-a absent; Rs length $2.6 \times \mathrm{R}$ length; $\mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{M}$ length $0.5 \times \mathrm{A}$ length; medial vein submedially curved. Color: body brown to reddish brown; wing membrane brown-tinted, paler along vein remnants.

Etymology. The species is named for the long, nose-like frontal projection (Latin)

## Loboscelidia nigra Fouts

http://species-id.net/wiki/Loboscelidia_nigra
Loboscelidia nigra Fouts 1922: 621. Syntype males (not female); Philippines: Mindanao, Dapitan, Basilan (USNM).

Material studied. Philippines: Mindanao; only the two syntypes were seen.
Diagnosis. As discussed under castanea and collaris, nigra is one of seven species with a triangular frontal projection, complete scrobal sulcus and complete notauli. Dimensions of the antennal articles will separate nigra from these species; the scape is less than $3 \times$ as long as broad, flagellomeres I and II are $2.5 \times$ as long as broad or longer and flagellomere XI is $4.5 \times$ as long as broad.

## Loboscelidia nigricephala Kimsey

http://species-id.net/wiki/Loboscelidia_nigricephala
Loboscelidia nigricephala Kimsey 1988: 72. Holotype male; Australia: Queensland, 21 km s Atherton (QDPI).

Material studied. Australia: Queensland: Mt. Lewis (1 male, CNC); 21 km s Atherton (1 male, QDPI); Hugh Nelson Range, s Atherton (1 male, BME); 3 males were seen, including the holotype.

Diagnosis. This is one of five species, including cervix, novoguineana, ora and par$v a$, where the frontal projection is broadly flattened and nearly linear in front view. It can be distinguished from these species by the arched medial vein, cu-a as long as or longer than R, foretibial flange as wide as tubular part of tibia, and the mid and hindtibial flanges $1.5 \times$ as wide as tubular part of the tibiae or wider.

## Loboscelidia nigricornis Fouts

http://species-id.net/wiki/Loboscelidia_nigricornis
Loboscelidia nigricornis Fouts 1925: 517. Holotype male; Philippines: Mindanao, Surigao (USNM).

Material studied. Philippines: Mindanao I., Agusan, Esperanza Bagugan, Matibog Creek ( 1 male, BPBM); 6 specimens were seen including the otype series.

Diagnosis. This is one of several species with a flat medial vein and rectangular frontal projection. In males, the absence of $\mathrm{cu}-\mathrm{a}$ and the scape more than $3.3 \times$ as long as broad are characteristics nigricornis shares with halimunensis. L. defecta can be distinguished from halimunensis by Rs less than twice as long as broad (longer in halimunensis) and longer pronotum ( $1.2 \times$ as long as broad, versus as long as broad or broader in halimunensis).

## Loboscelidia nitidula sp. n .

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:B9D54654-B75A-4659-A223-901AFCCDB5BD
http://species-id.net/wiki/Loboscelidia_nitidula
Figures 32, 44

Type material. Holotype male: Thailand, Petchaburi Prov., Nam Nao NP, $16^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 687^{\prime \prime N}, 101^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 754^{\prime \prime} E, 924 \mathrm{~m}, ~ M T, 5-12 / \mathrm{v} / 2007, ~ N . ~ H o n g y o t h i, ~ T 2656$ (QSBG). Paratypes (21 males): 1 male: Kaeng Krachan NP, 16/road/stream, $12^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 189^{\prime \prime N}, 99^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 62^{\prime \prime} E$, MT, 11-18/iii/2009, Sirichai \& Prasit, T4685; 1 male: $12^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 177^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 99^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 688^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{MT}, 735 \mathrm{~m}, 27 / \mathrm{xi}-4 / \mathrm{xii} / 2008$, Sirichai, T4395; 2 males: Chang Mai Prov., Doi Inthanon NP, 7-12/v/1990, E. Fuller, MT; 1 male: Chiangdao NP, Huai Na Lao, $19^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 731^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 98^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 315^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 500 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{YPT}, 6-7 /$ v/2008, Jugsu \& Watwanich, T5808; 1 male: Sakon Nakhon Prov., Phu Phan NP, 14/vii/2006, $17^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 543^{\prime \prime N}, 103^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 452^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, MT 8-W, Kongnara, T197; 2 males: $17^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 543^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 103^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 452^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{MT}, 15-21 / \mathrm{vii} / 2006, \mathrm{MT}, \mathrm{S}$. Tongboonchai, T200; 3 males: $17^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 488^{\prime \prime N} 103^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 497^{\prime \prime} E$, MT, $15-21 / v i i / 2006$, MT, S. Tongboonchai,

T205; 1 male: Nong Bua Prov., Lam Poo Phu Kao Phu, Phan Kham Nat. Pk., $16^{\circ} 49^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 102^{\circ} 37^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 208 \mathrm{~m}, 27 / \mathrm{vii}-2 / \mathrm{viii} / 2006, \mathrm{MT}, \mathrm{R}$. Singhatip, T85; 1 male: Nakhon Si Prov., Thammarat, Namtok Yong NP, $17^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 434^{\prime \prime} N$, $99^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 508^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 80$ m, MT, 16-23/viii/2008, K. Uprai, T3548; 2 males: Kanchanaburi Prov., Khuean Srinagarindra NP, Huay Mae Kamint, $14^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 312^{\prime \prime N}$, $98^{\circ} 5^{\prime} 643^{\prime \prime} E, 210$ m, MT, 1320/xi/2008, Somboon \& Daorueng, T4424/4423; 1 male: Erawan NP, 100 m, 5/ vii/1990, J. Heraty, 90/115; 1 male: Loei Prov., Phu Kradeung NP, 1649'01"N, $101^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 62$ "E, $276 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{MT}, 14-21 / \mathrm{v} / 2008$, T. Phatai, T5011; 1 male: Trang Prov., Nayong, $7 \mathrm{~m}, 20 / \mathrm{ii} / 2005,7^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 99^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{MT}, \mathrm{D} . \operatorname{Lohman;} 1$ male: Khao Chong Mt. $75 \mathrm{~m}, 28 / \mathrm{iv}-2 / \mathrm{v} / 2005,7^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime N}, 9^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 369^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{MT} ; 1$ male: Khao Chong Mt. $75 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{x} / 2005,7^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime N}$, $99^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 369^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{MT} ; 1$ male: near Nam Tock Ton Prov., Khoa Chong Mt., 140 m , ii/2005, $7^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime N}$, $99^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 36^{\prime \prime E}$, MT, D. Lohman (QSBG, BME, CNC).

Diagnosis. Four Loboscelidia species have a flattened cervical extension, including brunnea, maculipennis and nitidula. Of these four $L$. nitidula can be distinguished by presence of a scrobal sulcus, a medial metanotal ridge and a large foretibial flange (flange absent in the other species).

Male description. Body length $2.0-2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$; forewing length $2.5-3.0 \mathrm{~mm}$. Head: length $1.6 \times$ height in side view; eye asetose; frontal projection rectangular in front view; frons smooth, not microstriate; vertex without transverse fovea, posterior expansion convex in profile; frons with ridge extending from vertex along inner eye margin; gena without scale-like setae; scape striate, length 2.7 breadth; flagellomere I length $1.7 \times$ breadth; flagellomere II length $1.8 \times$ breadth; flagellomere XI length $5 \times$ breadth. Mesosoma: pronotal length $0.8 \times$ breadth, with fold between dorsal and lateral surfaces, as wide as head width in dorsal view; scutum with notauli reaching posterior margin; scutellum with fine dense striae laterally; metanotum with medial longitudinal striae, impunctate laterally, $0.5 \times$ as long as scutellum; mesopleuron with scrobal sulcus; propodeum without transverse dorsal carina; legs (Fig.44) smooth polished; forefemoral flange $0.7 \times$ femur length, flange maximum width $0.8 \times$ width of tubular part of femur; foretibial flange $0.9 \times$ femur length, flange maximum width as wide as tubular part of tibia; midfemoral flange $0.7 \times$ femur length, flange maximum width $0.7 \times$ width of tubular part of femur; midtibial flange $0.8 \times$ femur length, flange maximum width $1.2 \times$ width of tubular part of tibia; hindfemoral flange as long as femur, flange maximum width $1.2 \times$ width of tubular part of femur; hindtibial flange as long as femur, flange maximum width $1.6 \times$ width of tubular part of tibia; hindtibia with two longitudinal carinae on posterior margin; hindcoxa without longitudinal carina on inner medial surface; forewing (Fig. 32) R1 length $0.7 \times$ R length; cu-a length $0.6 \times \mathrm{R}$ length; Rs length $2.9 \times \mathrm{R}$ length; $\mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{M}$ length $0.6 \times$ A length; medial vein submedially curved. Color: body brown to reddish brown; wing membrane brown-tinted, darkest medially, lightest along vein remnants.

Etymology. The species name, nitidula, is Latin for shiny/polished (f.).

## Loboscelidia nixoni Day

http://species-id.net/wiki/Loboscelidia_nixoni
Laccomerista rufescens Cameron 1910a: 23. Holotype male; Borneo: Kuching (BMNH). Nec Westwood 1874.
Loboscelidia nixoni Day 1978: 29. Replacement name for Loboscelidia rufescens (Cameron 1910).

Material studied. Borneo; only the holotype of rufescens (Cameron) was seen.
Diagnosis. L. nixoni is another of the species characterized by having a curved medial vein, rectangular frontal projection, and no scrobal sulcus, as discussed under philippinensis. In this group nixoni differs from nasiformis and cinnamonea in having cu-a well-developed and half as long as R. It can be separated from philippinensis, and levigata by the combination of the scape and flagellomere XI less than twice as long as broad, flagellomeres I and II less than $1.7 \times$ as long as broad and hindtibial flange less than $0.7 \times$ as wide as tubular part of tibia.

## Loboscelidia novoguineana Kimsey

http://species-id.net/wiki/Loboscelidia_novoguineana
Loboscelidia novoguineana Kimsey 1988: 74. Holotype male; Papua New Guinea, East Highlands, Aiyura (BPBM).

Material studied. Papua New Guinea: Mt. Suckling (1 male, CNC); Ivimka Res. Station, Lakekamu Basin (3 males, BME); 5 males were seen, including the holotype.

Diagnosis. As discussed under nigricephala, novoguineana is one of five species with a wide flattened frontal projection. It can be distinguished from these species by the partial notauli, scrobal sulcus indicated by a scrobal pit or several pits, and the fore, mid and hindtibial flanges present and narrower than the respective tibiae. This is the only Loboscelidia species described from New Guinea but there surely must be more.

## Loboscelidia ora Kimsey

http://species-id.net/wiki/Loboscelidia_ora
Figure 18
Loboscelidia ora Kimsey 1988: 73. Holotype male; Australia: Queensland, Bingil Bay (ANIC).

Material studied. Australia: Queensland: Cape Tribulation (1 male, CNC); Paluma ( 2 males, CNC, BME); Lacey's Creek, Mission Beach ( 1 male, CNC); 5 males were examined, including the holotype.

Diagnosis. L. ora can be distinguished from the other Loboscelidia species with an apically broad, flattened frontal projection (Fig. 18) by the nearly straight medial vein, cu-a longer than $R$, Rs more than twice as long as $R, A$ as long or longer than $\mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{M}$, and no notauli.

## Loboscelidia parva Maa \& Yoshimoto

http://species-id.net/wiki/Loboscelidia_parva
Loboscelidia parva Maa and Yoshimoto 1961: 545. Holotype male; New Britain: Vunabakan, 10 km e Keravat (BPBM).

Material studied. New Britain; only the holotype was seen.
Diagnosis. As discussed under cervix and ora, parva is another of the species with a wide, broadly flattened frontal projection. L. parva can be distinguished from these species by the arched medial vein, scape $3 \times$ as long as broad, partial notauli, pronotum broader than long, and foretibia without a flange.

## Loboscelidia pasohana Kimsey

http://species-id.net/wiki/Loboscelidia_pasohana
Loboscelidia pasohana Kimsey 1988: 75. Holotype male; Malaysia: Negri Sembilan, Pasoh Forest Reserve (AEI).

Material studied. Malaysia: Negri Sembilan, Pasho Forest Reserve (1 male, AEI); Sarawak: Gunung Mulu NP (4 males, ROM, BME); Sabah: Mt. Kinabalu (2 males, BMNH); NP, Liwagu Rv. Tr. (1 male, CNC); Thailand: Petchaburi, Kaeng Krachan NP (4 males, QSBC); Chiang Mai: Doi Phahompok NP, Mae Fang Hotspring (1 male, QSBC); 12 specimens were seen including the type series.

Diagnosis. This a member of the large group of species with a rectangular frontal projection, submedially curved medial vein, complete scrobal sulcus and complete notauli. L. pasohana can be distinguished from the rest by the following combination of features: Rs nearly as long as R, cu-a half as long as R, flagellomeres I and II less than twice as long as broad, and fore, mid and hindtibial flanges $1.3-1.7 \times$ as wide as tubular part of respective tibiae.

## Loboscelidia pecki sp. n.

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:1E7C3500-EE85-481C-AFA6-1DCB27E97A33
http://species-id.net/wiki/Loboscelidia_pecki
Figures 2, 14, 33

Type material. Holotype male: Viet Nam: Tuyen Quang Prov., 360 m, Na Hang Reserve, 16-20 May 1997, FIT, S. B. Peck, 97-10 (CNC).


17. maculipennis

18. ora

21. australis

24. cinnamonae


Figures 14-26. Lateral view of male Loboscelidia head, with basal antennal segments. 17-19. Front view of face with antennae removed $\mathbf{2 0}$ Dorsal view of thorax, with wings removed 21, $\mathbf{2 2}$ Dorsal view of pronotum scutum and tegulae 23-26 Forewings.

Diagnosis. This species is characterized by the absence of the cu-a vein and having a submedially curved medial vein, characters shared with cinnamonea. It can be distinguished from cinnamonea by Rs $3 \times$ as long or longer than $R$, scape $3 \times$ or shorter as long as broad, scrobal sulcus present and the fore and midtibiae without flanges.

Male description. Body (Fig. 2) length 2 mm ; forewing length 2.5 mm . Head: length $2 \times$ height in side view (Fig. 14); eye asetose; frontal projection rectangular in front view; frons smooth, not microstriate; vertex without transverse fovea, posterior expansion strongly curved in profile; frons with ridge extending from vertex along inner eye margin; gena without scalelike setae; scape striate, length $2.9 \times$ breadth; flagellomere I length $2.2 \times$ breadth; flagellomere II length $2 \times$ breadth; flagellomere XI length $4 \times$ breadth. Mesosoma: pronotal length $0.9 \times$ breadth, with lateral fold separating dorsal from lateral surface, about as wide as head in dorsal view; scutum with notauli reaching posterior margin; scutellum with fine dense striae laterally; metanotum with three medial ridges enclosing roughened medial area, smooth laterally, 0.4$0.5 \times$ as long as scutellum; mesopleuron with scrobal sulcus; propodeum without transverse dorsal carina; legs (Fig. 2) smooth, polished; forefemoral flange 0.6 x femur length, flange maximum width $0.8 \times$ width of tubular part of femur; foretibial flange $0.8 \times$ tibia length, flange maximum width 0.7 x width of tubular part of tibia; midfemoral flange $0.7 \times$ femur length, flange maximum width $0.7 \times$ width of tubular part of femur; midtibial flange $0.9 \times$ femur length, flange maximum width $0.7 \times$ width of tubular part of tibia; hindfemoral flange $0.8 \times$ femur length, flange maximum width $0.7 \times$ width of tubular part of femur; hindtibial flange equal to femur length, flange maximum width $1.3 \times$ width of tubular part of tibia; hindtibia with two longitudinal carinae on posterior margin; hindcoxa without longitudinal carina on inner medial surface; forewing (Fig. 33) R1 length as long as R; cu-a length absent; Rs length $3.2 \times \mathrm{R}$ length; $\mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{M}$ length $0.8 \times \mathrm{A}$ length; medial vein present, submedially curved. Color: Body dark brown; wing membrane brown-tinted, paler along vein remnants, veins brown.

Etymology. The species is named after the collector, Stuart Peck.

## Loboscelidia philippinensis Fouts

http://species-id.net/wiki/Loboscelidia_philippinensis
Loboscelidia philippinensis Fouts, 1922: 623. Syntype males (not females); Philippines: Mindanao, Iligan (USNM).

Material studied. Philippines: Mindanao (3 males, USNM, BME); the 2 syntypes were also seen.

Diagnosis. L. philippinensis is one of the group of species characterized by having a submedially curved medial vein, rectangular frontal projection, no scrobal sulcus, and cu-a vein present. It can be distinguished from the rest of the group by the short, broad head in side view (1.2-1.4× as long as high), flagellomere I is more than twice as long as broad and longer than flagellomere II, partial notauli, metanotum half as long or longer than scutellum, A shorter than $\mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{M}$, and hindtibial flange as long as tibia and twice as wide as tubular part of tibia.


Figures 27-35. Male Loboscelidia forewings.

## Loboscelidia reducta Maa \& Yoshimoto

http://species-id.net/wiki/Loboscelidia_reducta
Figures 15, 34, 45
Loboscelidia reducta Maa and Yoshimoto 1961: 537. Holotype male; Viet Nam: Dai Lanh, n Nha Trang (BPBM).

Material studied. Dai Lanh, Nha Trang (1 male, BPBM); Thailand: Loei: Phu Kradueng NP (3 males, QSBC, BME); Phetchabun: Nam Nao NP (4 males, QSBC,

BME); Prachuab Khiri Khan: Khao Sam Roi Yot NP, Laem Sala Beach (2 males, QSBC); Khonkaen: Nam Pong NP (1 male, QSBC); Sakon Nakon, Phu Phan NP (2 males, QSBC, BME), Mae Hong Son: Namtok Mae Surin NP (3 males, QSBC, BME); Chiang Mai: Huai Nam Dang NP (1 male, BME); Kanchanaburi: Khuean Srinagarindra NP, Tha Thung-na/Chong Kraborg (1 male, QSBC); 22 specimens were seen including the holotype.

Diagnosis. Loboscelidia reducta is one of the species, including incompleta, bakeri, fulgens and ganxiensis, that have a rectangular frontal projection (Fig. 15), complete notauli, greatly reduced or absent cu-a vein and no medial vein (Fig. 34). It can be distinguished from them by the absence of fore, mid and hindtibial flanges (Fig. 45). This species bears a superficial resemblance to species of Rhadinoscelidia.

## Loboscelidia rufa Fouts <br> http://species-id.net/wiki/Loboscelidia_rufa

Loboscelidia rufa Fouts 1925: 517. Syntype males; Philippines: Sibuyan (USNM).

Material studied. Philippines: Misamis Or., Mt. Empagatao (1 male, BPBM); Sibuyan ( 2 males, USNM); Three specimens were seen including the syntypes.

Diagnosis. This is another species in the group with complete notauli, scrobal sulcus and rectangular frontal projection. L. rufa can be separated from other members of the group by the combination of the hindtibial flange nearly twice as wide as the tubular part of the respective tibiae (shared with kafae), flagellomeres I and II twice as long as broad or longer, and midtibial flange as long and as wide as the tubular part of the tibia.

## Loboscelidia rufescens Westwood

http://species-id.net/wiki/Loboscelidia_rufescens
Loboscelidia rufescens Westwood 1874: 172. Syntype males (not females); "Sul" (Sula) Isl. (OUMNH).

Material studied. Indonesia: Sula Island, Malaysia: Sarawak; only the 2 syntype of rufescens Westwood were seen.

Diagnosis. L. rufescens is another of the species characterized by having a curved medial vein, rectangular frontal projection and no scrobal sulcus, as discussed under philippinensis. In this group rufescens differs from nasiformis and cinnamonea in having cu-a well-developed and half as long as R. It can be separated from philippinensis, and levigata by the combination of the scape and flagellomere XI less than twice as long as broad, flagellomeres I and II less than $1.7 \times$ as long as broad and hindtibial flange less than $0.7 \times$ as wide as tubular part of tibia.


Figures 36-46. Lateral view of male Loboscelidia fore (a), mid (b) and hind (c) legs.

## Loboscelidia sarawakensis Kimsey

http://species-id.net/wiki/Loboscelidia_sarawakensis
Loboscelidia sarawakensis Kimsey 1988: 75. Holotype male; Sarawak, $4^{\text {th }}$ div., Gn. Lulu (BMNH).

Material studied. Malaysia: Sarawak: Gunung Mulu NP (3 males, ROM, BME); Mentawai Range ( 1 male, ROM); $4^{\text {th }}$ div., Gunung Lulu ( 1 male, BMNH); 5 males were seen including the holotype.

Diagnosis. As discussed under castanea and collaris, sarawakensis is one of seven species with a triangular frontal projection, complete scrobal sulcus and complete notauli. Loboscelidia sarawakensis can be separated from other members of the group by the combination of scape less than $2.5 \times$ as long as broad, flagellomeres I and II twice as long as broad, flagellomere XI $3.3 \times$ as long as broad, metanotum $0.3 \times$ as long as scutellum, and fore, mid and hindtibial flanges as long as and at least as wide as tubular part of respective tibiae.

## Loboscelidia scutellata Fouts

http://species-id.net/wiki/Loboscelidia_scutellata
Loboscelidia scutellata Fouts 1922: 628. Syntype males (not females); Philippines: Mindanao, Basilan, Surigao (USNM).

Material studied. Only the 2 syntypes were seen.
Diagnosis. L. scutellata is another of the species with a complete scrobal sulcus and notauli, and a triangular frontal projection. Characteristics that separate this species from the rest include the scape striate and $2.5-2.7 \times$ as long as broad, flagellomeres I and II twice as long as broad, flagellomere XI $2.4 \times$ as long as broad, fore and midfemoral flanges less than half as long as femora, hindtibial flange as long as tibia and $0.6 \times$ as wide as tubular part of tibia.

## Loboscelidia sinensis Kimsey

http://species-id.net/wiki/Loboscelidia_sinensis
Loboscelidia sinensis Kimsey 1988: 76. Holotype male; China: Hainan Island, Tien Fong Mts. (ZFCL).

Material studied. Only the holotype was seen.
Diagnosis. This is the last of the species group discussed under scutellata. Loboscelidia sinensis can be distinguished from the rest by the short R 1 vein ( $0.2 \times$ as long as R ), A as long as $\mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{M}$, scape twice as long as broad, flagellomeres I and II $2.5 \times$ as long as broad, and metanotum $0.3 \times$ as long as scutellum.

## Loboscelidia sisik sp. n.

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:10FCFF3D-8DE8-4511-8671-85B5934C1A1D
http://species-id.net/wiki/Loboscelidia_sisik
Figures 16, 35, 46

Type material. Holotype male: Borneo, W. Kalimantan, Gunung Palung Nat. Pk., 15 June-15 Aug. 1991, Darling, Ubaidillah (Rosichon), Sutrisno, 11S 910131 (MBBJ).

Paratype: 1 male, same data as holotype, 11S 910125 (BME).
Diagnosis. This species has a number of distinctive features that in combination will distinguish it from other Loboscelidia, including the scale-like setae on the head and legs and the scrobal sulcus reduced to a series of foveae.

Male description. Body length 3-4 mm; forewing length $3.5-4.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. Head (Fig. 16): length $1.7 \times$ height in side view; eye asetose; frontal projection triangular in front view; frons smooth, not microstriate; vertex without transverse fovea, posterior expansion strongly convex in profile; frons with ridge extending from vertex along inner eye margin; gena without scale-like setae; scape smooth, not striate, length 1.8 breadth; flagellomere I length $1.8 \times$ breadth; flagellomere II length $2.8 \times$ breadth; flagellomere XI length $3.5 \times$ breadth. Mesosoma: pronotal length $0.8 \times$ breadth, with lateral carina, as wide as head in dorsal view; scutum with notauli reaching posterior margin; scutellum with scattered large punctures and fine dense striae posteriorly; metanotum medially finely, densely striate/punctate impunctate laterally. $0.3 \times$ as long as scutellum; mesopleuron with scrobal sulcus consisting of 3-4 large pits or foveae; propodeum without transverse dorsal carina; legs (Fig. 46) smooth, polished; forefemoral flange 0.7 x femur length, flange maximum width $0.6 \times$ width of tubular part of femur; foretibial flange $0.4 \times$ tibial length, flange maximum width 0.4 x width of tubular part of tibia; midfemoral flange $0.5 \times$ femur length, flange maximum width as wide as tubular part of femur; midtibial flange absent; hindfemoral flange $0.9 \times \mathrm{fe}$ mur length, flange maximum width as wide as tubular part of femur; hindtibial flange $0.9 \times$ tibial length, flange maximum width $0.6 \times$ width of tubular part of tibia; hindtibia with two longitudinal carinae on posterior margin; hindcoxa with longitudinal carina on inner medial surface; forewing (Fig. 35) R1 length $0.5 \times$ R length; cu-a length $0.7 \times$ R length; Rs length $2.3 \times \mathrm{R}$ length; $\mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{M}$ as long as A ; medial vein submedially curved. Color: body dark brown; wing membrane brown-tinted, darker along vein remnants.

Female. Unknown.
Etymology. The species name is Indonesian for scale, referring to the scale-like setae on the head and legs (noun).

## Loboscelidia striolata Yao, Liu \& Xu

http://species-id.net/wiki/Loboscelidia_striolata
Loboscelidia striolata Yao, Liu \& Xu, 2010: 528. Holotype male; China: Guangdong Prov., Nanking National Nature Reserve (SCAC).

Material studied. None.

Diagnosis. L. striolata may very well be part of the species group discussed under castanea and collaris, characterized by a triangular frontal projection, complete scrobal sulcus and complete notauli. However, the published description and images do not show the mesopleuron clearly enough to determine whether the scrobal sulcus is present or not. If it does have a scrobal sulcus then striolata may be synonymous with sinensis. Both striolata and sinensis share similar head, wing vein, flagellar and leg flange dimensions. They appear to differ in the dimensions of the scape, which $3 \times$ as long as broad in striolata and twice as long as broad in sinensis and possibly in the presence of the scrobal sulcus in sinensis.

Female. Unknown.
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