Adam G. Boving

No. 87.

HORACE DONISTHORPE. F.Z.S., F.E.S.

Synonymy of some Genera of Ants.

[|]Reprinted from The Ent. Record, Vol. XXVIII., Nos. 11 and 12.]

Synonymy of some Genera of Ants.

By HORACE DONISTHORPE, F.Z.S., F.E.S.

(Continued from page 244.)

3. On Lasius, Fabricius nec Jurine.

In 1915 Morice and Durrant [Trans. Ent. Soc. Lond., 1914, 339-436 (1915)] reprinted with notes a paper by Jurine [Erl. Litt., 153-165 (1801)], over the validity of which there is a considerable difference of opinion. If one accepts this paper it is necessary (among other changes in nomenclature which are brought about) to find a new name for Lasius, F. (1804), a genus of ants which sinks as a synonym of Lasius, Jur. (1801), a genus of bees. This was done by Morice and Durrant, who proposed the name of Donisthorpea. At that time they considered Acanthomyops, Mayr (1862), to be a good genus, and not a sub-genus of Lasius, F., as it is usually regarded; and they were not aware of Ruzsky's sub-genera Dendrolasius and Chthonolasius (1912).

Virginia 18, i.s. 43, 816-18 (1916)], in a very generous and appreciate to accept the my book, British Ants, stated that he was not prepared to accept arrine's paper, and he also expressed the opinion that neither Forel nor Emery would do so. In this, however, he was mistaken, as both Forel and Emery have accepted the Erlangen List. Forel [Rev. Suisse Zool., 24, 460 (1916)] substitutes Mayr's Acanthomyops for the genus Lasius, F., but he incorrectly treats the sub-genus Donisthorpea, Mor. and Drnt., with type nigra, L., as a synonym of Chthonolasius, Ruzsky, with type flavus, F.! He also states that he is prepared to accept the name Formicina instead of Lasius, if this will put an end to any further changes of names.

Emery (Acad. Sci. Ist. Bologna, 1916, 61) on the other hand selects Formicina, Shuckard (1840), as a substitute for Lasius, F.; but the use of this name is invalid, as Wheeler [Ann. New York Acad. Sci., 21, 164 (1911)] fixed Formica rufa as the type of Formicina, and sunk it as a synonym of Formica, L. Emery also gives the dates of Ruzsky's sub-

genera as 1908, whereas they were published in 1912.

If the validity of Jurine's paper be accepted the synonymy is as follows:—

ACANTHOMYOPS, Mayr.

= \S LASIUS (nec Jurine) F. (1804); = * FORMICINA (Shuck., p., 1840), Forel and Emery (1916) — nec Wheeler (1911); = Acanthomyops Mayr (1862); = Dendrolasius Ruzsky (1912); = Chihonolasius, Ruzsky (1912); = Donisthorpea Mor. and Drnt. (1915); = * CHTHONOLASIUS (nec Ruzsky), Forel (1916).

Type 1: Formica clavigera, Roger (claviger, Mayr; Mayr 1862; Wheeler, 1911).

Acanthomyops, Mayr, Verh. Zool-Bot. Ges. Wien, **12**, 699-700 (1862); Wheeler, Ann. N.Y. Ac. Sc., **21**, 157 (1911); Forel, Rev. Suisse Zool., **24**, 460 (1916).

Type 2: Formica fuliginosa, Ltr. (Ruzsky, 1912).

Dendrolasius, Ruzsky, Kasani Zap. Veterin. Inst., 29, 62933, tf. 2 (1912); Forel, Rev. Suisse Zool., 24, 460 (1916).

Type 3: Formica flava, L. (Ruzsky, 1912).

Chthonolasius, Ruzsky, Kasani Zap. Veterin. Inst., 29, 629-33, tf. 3 (1912). * FORMICINA, Shuck. (p.), Lardner's Cab. Cycl., 10 (Hist. Nat.-Arr. Ins.), 172 (1840); Forel, Rev. Suisse Zool., 24, 460 (1916); Emery, Acad. Sci. Ist. Bologna, 1916, 61.

[nec FORMICINA, Shuck., Lardner's Cab. Cycl., 10 (Hist. Nat.-Arr. Ins.), 172 (1840); Wheeler, Science, 33, 859, 860 (2; vi., 1911); Ann. N.Y. Ac. Sc., 21, 164 (17, xi., 1911)—Type: rufa, L. (FORMICA, L.)].

[nec * CHTHONOLASIUS, (Ruzsky) Forel, Rev. Suisse Zool., 24, 460 (1916)—Type: nigra, L. (DONISTHORPEA, Mor. and Drnt.)].

Type 4: Formica nigra, L. (Mor. and Drnt., 1915).

Donisthorpea Mor. and Drnt. = § LAS1 (1904), Syst. Piez., pp. xi., 415-8, no. 78, sp. 1-10, Ind. 15 (1904), 14, 4tt. . . . Donisthorpea Mor. and Drnt., Tr. Ent. Soc. Lint., 1814, 423 1915). * CHTHONOLASIUS (nec Ruzsky) Forel, Rev. Strisse Zool., 24, 460 (1916).

This synonymy will appear in the Transactions of the Entomological Society of London.